

## **Under-ice foraging of Weddell seals**



IEM-GEOMAR

## Objectives

The Weddell seal, a fish predator, is adapted to exploit coastal shelf waters which are largely covered by fast ice for most of the year. Previous (1998) studies at Drescher Inlet provided detailed information on diurnal variations in the seals' foraging depths. Trawling with RV "Polarstern" confirmed that Pleuragramma antarcticum were by far the most abundant fish in the pelagial and near the seabed (Plötz et al. 2001). However, directly measuring where feeding actually takes place has remained problematical. We therefore conceived a system of data recorders to obtain new insights into the seals' spatial and temporal variations in diving behaviour and foraging success

## **First Results**

Presented are the foraging activities of two Weddell seals. Figure 1 illustrates the horizontal components of their tracks (black and red lines) recorded during two consecutive nights under dim-light conditions of the midnight sun. Both seals often foraged under the ice shelf. Their vertical movements adjacent to the underwater ice cliffs and their foraging below the ice shelf is evident from the striking progression of dive tracks presented in Figures 2 - 5.

site A

10

6

All 10

e tracks (black line) of the sea cks projected to the bottom (grey le dot), feeding events (red dots).

single pelagic dive track selected from Fig. 2, now tive positions given in meters, feeding event (red dot).

Pitch angle, swim speed, dive depth, jaw-opening-angle and Are nterest Index (All) over time for the pelagic dive shown in Fig. 4/6.


Figures 2 and 3 provide two of many scenarios of diving behaviour and concomitant feeding events. The seal (Fig. 2) primarily foraged at depths around 100 m where hunting was successful. The seal (Fig. 3) foraged within two depth layers, these being from the surface to around 50 m, and near the seabed at 420 m, where feeding events were most abundant. By contrast, the midwater laver from about 150 to 350 m appears to be prey-deficient, as the seal just commuted through this zone to reach the seabed.

Enlargements of single dive tracks show greater distances covered during benthic foraging (Fig. 5) compared to the dive track in the pelagial (Fig. 4), even though higher were recorded during pelagic diving eed (Figs. 6/9)

Pitch angles (Fig. 7) during the deepest phases of pelagic dives are wider, indicating the body of the hunting seal pointed upwards

Feeding events mainly occurred during the deepest phases of pelagic and benthic dives shortly after slight rises in swim speed (Figs. 7/8). During benthic dives feeding events precipitated more jaw movements (mouth openings) that lasted longer.

The Area Interest Index (explained below) peaks during minimum horizontal displacement, like steep descents and ascents (Figs. 7/8). During pelagic dives the index shows irregular patterns, whereas during benthic diving constant maximum values appear in conjunction with feeding events indicating the seal's area-intensive and effective foraging near the seabed.



### Area Interest Index (AII)

The All (Fig. 10) is a measure of the directionality of movement. It relates the sum of distances of a certain number of successive positions to the distance between the first and the last position. A high All indicates convoluted movement, whereas a low All indicates directional Depending movement. on sampling frequency and numbers of positions used to calculate the All, it can be adjusted from fine- to large-scale movements

Nikolai Liebsch<sup>1</sup>, Horst Bornemann<sup>2</sup>, Yuuki Watanabe<sup>3</sup> and Joachim Plötz<sup>2</sup>

Leibniz Institute of Marine Science, Kiel, Germany
Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany
Ocean Research Institute, The University of Tokyo, Japan




Fig. 2

-72.826

Fig. 4

Fig. 7


Fig. 10

 $AII = 1 - \frac{}{a+b+c+d+e}$ 


seal tagged at site A, same rey line), start/stop of record

## site E Fig. 3

Dive tracks (red line) of the se projected to the bottom (grey dot), feeding events (red dots)



ive track selected from Fig. 3, now w ven in meters, feeding events (red dots).



# Multi-Trace (Jensen Software Systems, Laboe, Germany).

Materials & Methods The field campaign was conducted at the Drescher Inlet (72°50'S, 19°02'W) from 4 Dec. 2003 to 3 Jan. 2004. Seven

non-lactating adult female Weddell seals were equipped with Multi Channel Loggers (MCL) and Inter Mandibular Angle Sensors (IMASEN). The MCL records swim heading

by compass, swim speed, dive depth and body orientation

(pitch) at 1Hz. The IMASEN records jaw opening angles by

a Hall sensor reacting to the field strength of a magnet. Sensor and magnet are glued on the hair-covered parts of

the upper and lower jaw, respectively. Sensor data are sent via cable to a logger glued on the seal's head and are typically recorded at 10Hz. Sensor-perceived magnetic field strength was calibrated for jaw angle by

photographing the head from the side and then relating


angles derived from the photos to the sensor output at that time. The synchronous link between the dive- and

iaw-data information was achieved using the program

Calibration of the IMASEN







## Conclusions

- The study showed that Weddell seals often foraged below the floating ice shelf.
- The underside of the ice shelf and the seabed below represented food horizons.
- Prey distribution in these horizons differed necessitating different hunting strategies.
- · Complementary data from trawl catches by RV "Polarstern" again confirmed that the fish fauna near the Drescher Inlet was predominated by Pleuragramma antarcticum (Knust pers. com.). This leads us to conclude that the seals fed primarily on this fish.

Reference: Plötz J, Bornemann H, Knust R, Schröder A, Bester M (2001) Foraging behaviour of Weddell seals, and its ecological implications. Polar Biol 24:901-909.