

Effects of permafrost melting on CO₂ and CH₄ exchange of a poorly drained black spruce lowland

Kimberly P. Wickland,^{1,2} Robert G. Striegl,³ Jason C. Neff,⁴ and Torsten Sachs^{5,6}

Received 7 September 2005; revised 8 February 2006; accepted 22 February 2006; published 2 June 2006.

[1] Permafrost melting is occurring in areas of the boreal forest region where large amounts of carbon (C) are stored in organic soils. We measured soil respiration, net CO₂ flux, and net CH₄ flux during May–September 2003 and March 2004 in a black spruce lowland in interior Alaska to better understand how permafrost thaw in poorly drained landscapes affects land-atmosphere CO₂ and CH₄ exchange. Sites included peat soils underlain by permafrost at ~0.4 m depth (permafrost plateau, PP), four thermokarst wetlands (TW) having no permafrost in the upper 2.2 m, and peat soils bordering the thermokarst wetlands having permafrost at ~0.5 m depth (thermokarst edges, TE). Soil respiration rates were not significantly different among the sites, and 5-cm soil temperature explained 50–91% of the seasonal variability in soil respiration within the sites. Groundcover vegetation photosynthesis (calculated as net CO₂ minus soil respiration) was significantly different among the sites (TW > TE > PP), which can be partly attributed to the difference in photosynthetically active radiation reaching the ground at each site type. Methane emission rates were 15 to 28 times greater from TW than from TE and PP. We modeled annual soil respiration and groundcover vegetation photosynthesis using soil temperature and radiation data, and CH₄ flux by linear interpolation. We estimated all sites as net C gas sources to the atmosphere (not including tree CO₂ uptake at PP and TE), although the ranges in estimates when accounting for errors were large enough that TE and TW may have been net C sinks.

Citation: Wickland, K. P., R. G. Striegl, J. C. Neff, and T. Sachs (2006), Effects of permafrost melting on CO₂ and CH₄ exchange of a poorly drained black spruce lowland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, G02011, doi:10.1029/2005JG000099.

1. Introduction

[2] The boreal forest biome covers the circumpolar region between ~50°N and 70°N, including large parts of North America and Eurasia [Van Cleve and Dyrness, 1983]. It occupies approximately 25% of the world's forested land surface [Whittaker and Likens, 1975], and boreal soils contain about one third of the world's soil organic carbon [Post *et al.*, 1982; Billings, 1987; Gorham, 1991]. Many regions of the boreal forest are underlain by discontinuous permafrost, where 50–90% of the area is frozen [Brown *et al.*, 1997]. Permafrost soils contain a considerable amount of organic carbon [Billings, 1987], and have important impacts on local hydrology [Quinton and Marsh, 1999].

There is evidence that temperatures of discontinuous permafrost have warmed during recent decades, approaching or surpassing the melting point in some areas. Permafrost temperatures in areas of Alaska increased up to 1.5°C from the late 1980s to the mid 1990s [Osterkamp and Romanovsky, 1999], and rates of permafrost thawing in boreal Canada have accelerated significantly since the mid-twentieth century [Payette *et al.*, 2004; Camill, 2005]. This warming is in response to increased air temperatures as well as to changes in the timing and depth of snow cover [Osterkamp and Romanovsky, 1999]. A major concern regarding thawing permafrost is the release of stored soil carbon to the atmosphere as carbon dioxide (CO₂) through increased decomposition upon thaw [Shaver *et al.*, 1992; Oechel *et al.*, 1993; Goulden *et al.*, 1998; Smith *et al.*, 2004]. Mobilization of this carbon could have important repercussions for global climate if it adds to atmospheric CO₂ concentrations [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 1996].

[3] Permafrost melting will result in increased decomposition of soil organic matter and CO₂ release to the atmosphere if soils become drier as permafrost melts, making conditions for aerobic decomposition more favorable. However, permafrost thaw does not exclusively result in drier soils. The drainage condition of an area, as determined by local hydrology, topography, and geology, will largely dictate how permafrost thaw affects soil moisture condi-

¹U.S. Geological Survey, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

²Also at University of Colorado, Department of Geological Sciences, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

³U.S. Geological Survey, Lakewood, Colorado, USA.

⁴University of Colorado, Department of Geological Sciences, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

⁵Environmental Science Department, Alaska Pacific University, Anchorage, Alaska, USA.

⁶Now at Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Potsdam, Germany.

tions. Permafrost thaw in well-drained areas such as hillslopes may lead to drier soils, while thaw in poorly drained areas can result in wetter soils. The most dramatic change in soil moisture conditions occurs where thawing of ice-rich permafrost in poorly drained landscapes results in areas of ground subsidence, or thermokarst [Osterkamp *et al.*, 2000; Camill *et al.*, 2001]. The ground may sink more than a meter and become saturated or have standing water, forming thermokarst ponds or collapse scar bogs/fens. The flooded area undergoes significant vegetation change as trees die and wetland vegetation such as *Carex* and *Sphagnum* mosses become established [Camill *et al.*, 2001; Jorgenson *et al.*, 2001]. Methane (CH₄) emissions produced from anaerobic decomposition may increase in areas where permafrost thaw results in significantly wetter soils [Turetsky *et al.*, 2002]. A significant increase in CH₄ release from these soils could also affect global climate, particularly because it is a powerful greenhouse gas [IPCC, 1996].

[4] Information regarding the effects of permafrost thaw on carbon (C) cycling in poorly drained landscapes where thermokarst wetlands form is limited to a small number of studies that focus primarily on organic matter (OM) accumulation rates and on CH₄ fluxes. These studies present evidence that thermokarst wetlands have greater OM accumulation rates over hundreds of years and emit greater amounts of CH₄ when compared to poorly drained permafrost soils. Organic matter accumulation rates in collapse bogs increased by 60–100% over 100–200 years in peatlands of Alberta and Manitoba, Canada [Turetsky *et al.*, 2000; Camill *et al.*, 2001], and by 50–200% over 1200 years in collapse wetlands in Northwest Territories, Canada [Robinson and Moore, 1999, 2000]. Camill *et al.* [2001] attribute greater accumulation rates in thermokarst wetlands to higher net primary productivity (NPP) of *Sphagnum* moss and *Carex*, and to slower decomposition rates induced by peat burial and recalcitrant peat chemistry. The response of C accumulation rates to thermokarst formation in peatlands studied by Robinson and Moore [1999, 2000] varied depending on the hydrology of the wetlands. Collapse bogs had 72% greater mean C accumulation rate over 1200 years than permafrost soils, but collapse fens had a statistically similar mean C accumulation rate as permafrost soils. Methane emissions from collapse wetlands formed by permafrost thaw were significantly higher than the surrounding permafrost soils in peatlands in Canada [Bubier *et al.*, 1995a; Liblik *et al.*, 1997; Turetsky *et al.*, 2002], Alaska [Moosavi *et al.*, 1996], and Sweden [Christensen *et al.*, 2004]. The single study in which CO₂ fluxes were measured [Turetsky *et al.*, 2002] reported that soil respiration rates were 60% higher at thermokarst wetlands than surrounding permafrost soils. Bubier *et al.* [1998] measured CO₂ exchange (net and gross) of a collapse bog and a collapse poor fen within a peatland complex underlain by discontinuous permafrost in Manitoba, Canada, but did not include permafrost soils. Soil respiration of permafrost soils at the same site measured two years earlier during May–September 1994 [Savage *et al.*, 1997] averaged 8.33 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹, compared with a July–August 1996 mean of 8.78 and 11.52 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ at the collapse bog and poor fen [Bubier *et al.*, 1998].

[5] These studies indicate that permafrost thaw in poorly drained systems commonly results in the formation of

thermokarst wetlands that may have greater C accumulation rates and CH₄ emissions than permafrost soils. However, there is a need for additional information on how thermokarst wetland formation affects the magnitude and seasonality of CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes and the factors that control these processes, especially considering that further climate warming is expected to cause widespread permafrost thaw [Stendel and Christensen, 2002; Camill, 2005]. We studied C dynamics in four thermokarst wetlands and surrounding areas underlain by permafrost in interior Alaska by measuring gross CO₂ (soil respiration) fluxes, net CO₂ fluxes, net CH₄ fluxes, and ancillary data over a complete growing season and under snow cover. Our main objectives were to quantify seasonal C gas exchange of thermokarst wetlands and permafrost soils, and to identify the dominant controls on C gas fluxes.

2. Site Description

[6] The study site (64°41.773'N, 148°19.263'W) is located about 32 km southwest of Fairbanks, Alaska, and is adjacent to the Bonanza Creek Experimental Forest, which is part of the Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) program. It is a poorly drained lowland on level terrain near the Tanana River at ~120 m elevation. Permafrost is present at approximately 0.4 m depth, and organic soils extend to approximately 0.9 m depth. Active layer soils are generally saturated a few centimeters above the seasonal ice depth, which increases through the growing season. Stunted black spruce (*Picea mariana* Mill.) B.S.P.) up to 130-years old are scattered across the site, interspersed with a small number of tamarack (*Larix laricina* (Du Roi) K. Koch). Mean height and diameter at breast height (dbh) of the black spruce trees are 3.7 m (range = 1.8–8.0 m) and 14.5 cm (range = 6.0–32.0 cm), respectively. Black spruce tree basal area is 8.7 m² ha⁻¹. Other vegetation includes shrubs such as narrow-leaf labrador tea (*Ledum decumbens* (Ait.) Small), dwarf arctic birch (*Betula nana* L.), leatherleaf (*Chamaedaphne calyculata* (L.) Moench), cloud-berry (*Rubus chamaemorus* L.), bog cranberry (*Vaccinium vitis-idaea* L.), bog blueberry (*Vaccinium uliginosum* L.), and small bog cranberry (*Vaccinium oxycoccus* L.); herbaceous species such as sheathed cotton grass (*Eriophorum vaginatum* L.) and other various grasses; and mosses including *Aulacomnium turgidum* (Wahlenb.) Schwaegr., *Pleurozium schreberi* (Brid.) Mitt., *Hylocomium splendens* (Hedw.) B.S.G., *Sphagnum angustifolium* (Russow) C. Jens., *Sphagnum magellanicum* Brid., and *Sphagnum fuscum* (Schimp.) Klinggr.

[7] Isolated thermokarst features have formed within the lowland where permafrost has melted (Figure 1). In these areas the ground surface is 0.5–1 m lower than the surrounding forest and there is standing water in many places. The thermokarst wetland complex does not have surface water inlets or outlets, and continuous ponding suggests that groundwater drainage is poor. There are standing dead black spruce and tamarack trees in the thermokarst wetlands, and there are live leaning trees at the wetland edges. No permafrost is present to a depth of at least 2.2 m. Vegetation in these wetlands includes *Carex* spp., tall cotton grass (*Eriophorum angustifolium* Honckeny), marsh cinquefoil (*Potentilla palustris* (L.) Scop.),




Figure 1. Aerial photo (1-m resolution) and map of study site. The symbols mark measurement locations (circles, Thermokarst Wetlands, TW; triangles, Thermokarst Edges, TE; squares, Permafrost Plateau, PP). The four thermokarst wetlands are outlined in white and labeled. The TE site within TW 1 is located on a small island that has not collapsed.

Sphagnum riparium Ångstr., *S. fuscum*, *S. angustifolium*, and *S. magellanicum*. The water table was within the upper 0.05 m of the vegetation surface at all the thermokarst wetland sampling locations during the study period, and surface water pH ranged from 5.0 to 5.6 during the season. One of the wetlands (TW2, Figure 1) has only a few standing dead trees and has established dwarf arctic birch shrubs, while the other three wetlands have a large number of standing dead trees, no dwarf arctic birch shrubs, and areas of open water.

[8] Aerial photographs of the area suggest that these wetlands may have formed within the last 30–40 years and are expanding in area. This concurs with an estimated warming of permafrost in the late 1960s and early 1970s modeled for a site in Bonanza Creek LTER using mean annual soil and permafrost temperatures from 1950–1996 [Osterkamp and Romanovsky, 1999]. Examination of tree rings and compression wood formation in cores obtained from live leaning trees at the edge of one of the wetlands show that these particular trees began leaning in 1992–1993 (J. Lukas, personal communication, 2003). The areas bordering the thermokarst wetlands are underlain by permafrost and the ground has not subsided, but the soils are generally drier than the permafrost plateau soils, likely due to local drainage into the wetlands. Lloyd *et al.* [2003] described the same phenomenon at a site near Seward Peninsula, Alaska where soils bordering thaw ponds were significantly drier than level tundra.

[9] Fifteen locations for flux measurements were established among three different site types designated as permafrost plateau (PP), thermokarst wetland (TW), and edges of the thermokarst wetlands (TE) (Figure 1). Each site type was represented by five sampling locations where 0.10-m-tall, 0.37-m-inner-diameter flux chamber collars were inserted approximately 0.05 m into the soil in early May 2003.

3. Methods

3.1. Active Layer Thickness, Soil and Air Temperatures, Soil Moisture, and PAR

[10] We measured the depth to ice (active layer thickness) at every flux measurement location whenever gas flux was measured by driving a steel rod into the soil at three locations within 1 m of each chamber collar. Depth to ice could be measured to a maximum of 2.2 m, the length of our longest rod. Air temperature and 5 cm, 10 cm, and 15 cm soil temperatures were measured at every location when we measured gas flux using a Fluke digital thermometer equipped with a 30-cm-long temperature probe. Hourly soil temperature at 5 cm below the vegetation surface was continuously recorded using data loggers installed in May 2003 at one sampling location each for PP, TE, and TW. Soil was collected from the upper 5 cm (immediately beneath the live vegetation) at PP and TE flux measurement sites for volumetric soil moisture content on several dates in 2003.

[11] Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was measured at each chamber collar immediately before and after net CO₂ flux chamber measurements using a LI-COR Quantum sensor and a LI-250 Light Meter placed next to each chamber. Ground PAR measurements were discontinued after 8 August owing to equipment malfunction. Missing PAR data were estimated using average hourly PAR measured at a weather station operated by the Bonanza Creek LTER located ~3 km east of the study site (see <http://www.lter.uaf.edu>). The relationship between ground PAR at each site type and LTER weather station PAR (hereafter referred to as “LTER PAR”) during 16 May to 8 August was used to adjust LTER PAR to approximate ground PAR on subsequent dates. The adjustment factors are as follows: PP ground PAR = 0.52 * LTER PAR; TE ground PAR = 0.68 * LTER PAR; TW ground PAR = 0.98 * LTER PAR.

3.2. Gas Flux Measurements

[12] We measured gross CO₂ flux (soil respiration) and net CO₂ flux (soil respiration minus photosynthesis) weekly to biweekly at the sampling sites during May–September 2003. We measured CH₄ flux biweekly at the TW and TE sites during May–September 2003, and biweekly at the PP sites during July–September 2003. Fluxes were measured between 1000 and 1600 local time. We also measured soil respiration under snow once in March 2004. Measurements were made using the closed-chamber technique, in which the change in CO₂ or CH₄ concentration in a chamber placed on the soil surface was measured over time [Healy *et al.*, 1996]. The cylindrical chambers were 0.20 m tall with a 0.37-m inner diameter, had sample ports fitted with three-way stopcocks, and had a coiled aluminum tube (1.6-mm inside diameter) installed through the sidewall for pressure equalization. Soil respiration was measured using an opaque chamber constructed of polyvinyl chloride (PVC), and net CO₂ flux and CH₄ flux were measured using a clear PVC and Lexan chamber. Trees and large shrubs at PP and TE sites were not included in gas flux measurements.

[13] Gas fluxes were measured by placing the chamber onto the PVC collars installed in the soil, sealing the interface with a rubber gasket, and measuring the change in CO₂ or CH₄ concentration. Chamber CO₂ concentration was measured by circulating chamber air through a portable infrared gas analyzer (IRGA), which pulled air from the top center of the chamber and returned the air through a sidewall sample port. The IRGA internal pump circulated air at a maximum rate of 0.3 L min⁻¹. An additional pump circulated the chamber air at 3 L min⁻¹. Beginning at time zero, CO₂ concentrations were recorded at 15-s intervals for 5 min for both soil respiration and net CO₂ flux measurements. Methane concentrations were measured by collecting 12-mL chamber air samples through a top sample port every 4 min for 16 min using a syringe, and immediately transferring the sample to a 10-mL serum bottle that was previously flushed with N₂ gas, sealed with a butyl rubber septum, and evacuated. The serum bottle samples were analyzed for CH₄ on a gas chromatograph (GC) within 1 month of collection. The Hewlett-Packard 5890 Series II GC had a 2-m 100–120 mesh Porapak-N column, a flame ionization detector, nitrogen carrier gas, and an oven temperature of 40°C. Calibration tables were constructed using CH₄ standards that bracketed the sample concentrations,

and chromatographic data were integrated using a Hewlett-Packard 3365 Series II ChemStation computer program.

[14] The net rate of gas emission or consumption was determined by

$$J = (dC/dt)h, \quad (1)$$

where J is flux (mol m⁻² t⁻¹), C is the concentration of gas in the chamber at ambient temperature and pressure (mol m⁻³), t is time, h is chamber height (m), and dC/dt is the slope of the regression of gas concentration with time as time approaches zero [Rolston, 1993; Healy *et al.*, 1996]. Slopes of regressions had r^2 of at least 0.95 for CO₂ fluxes and 0.90 for CH₄ fluxes.

3.3. Pore Water CO₂ and CH₄ Concentrations

[15] We collected soil pore water at various depths in the thermokarst wetlands on 2 July and 1 August 2003 using a 60-mL syringe attached to a stainless steel probe (3-mm inner diameter) that had slotted openings at the end. Immediately after the pore water was collected, 15 mL of the sample was injected through a 15-mm diameter Whatman GF/A syringe filter into a 37-mL sealed serum bottle containing nitrogen gas and 2 g KCl as an inhibitor of microbial activity [Striegl *et al.*, 2001]. CO₂ in the equilibrated headspace of the serum bottles was analyzed by injecting four 0.5-mL replicates of each sample into a nitrogen carrier stream passing through a LI-COR 6252 infrared CO₂ analyzer. Three mL of equilibrated headspace was injected into the GC for CH₄ analysis. Dissolved CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations were calculated using known CO₂ [Plummer and Busenberg, 1982] and CH₄ [Yamamoto *et al.*, 1976] equilibrium constants adjusted for field temperature and pressure.

3.4. Statistical Analyses

[16] We used nonparametric statistics to check for significant differences within and among the three site types for active layer thickness, soil moisture content, and gas fluxes, which had nonnormal distributions (Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance of rank tests, $\alpha = 0.05$, p -values are listed when significant [Judd and McClelland, 1989]).

4. Results

4.1. Active Layer Thickness

[17] The active layer thickness was greatest at the TW sites on all dates in 2003 (Figure 2). The PP sites had a slightly shallower active layer than the TE sites on almost every date, but the difference is not statistically significant. Maximum active layer thickness was 0.41 ± 0.03 m (30 August) at the PP sites, and 0.46 ± 0.04 m (29 September) at the TE sites. Active layer thickness was somewhat greater at the TW sites than at the other sites during May–June, while in July and August it was much greater as the seasonal ice layer thawed completely in the wetlands. This seasonal ice layer extended to about 0.60 m depth at all the TW sites, and there was no detectable ice between 0.60 and 2.20 m (we do not know whether there is permafrost deeper than 2.20 m).




Figure 2. Active layer thickness, 2003–2004. Each point is the mean (± 1 standard deviation) of five measurements (exceptions are 16 May, when there were two measurements at TE and TW; and 30 August, when there was one measurement at TE). Measurements on 25 March were made in 2004.

4.2. Soil Moisture

[18] The mean volumetric soil moisture content (vol/vol) at the PP sites (0.48 ± 0.19 , $n = 16$) was significantly greater than at the TE sites (0.34 ± 0.20 , $n = 21$; Mann-Whitney U test, p -value < 0.05).

4.3. Soil Respiration

[19] Soil respiration at PP, TE, and TW followed a seasonal pattern, with minimum measured fluxes occurring in March–May and September, and maximum fluxes occurring in July (Figures 3a–3c; a positive value indicates a flux




Figure 3. Measured and modeled soil respiration, 2003–2004 (measurements on 25 March were made in 2004), at (a) PP, (b) TE, and (c) TW; and measured and modeled photosynthesis, 2003, at (d) PP, (e) TE, and (f) TW. Each point is the mean ± 1 standard deviation of four to five measurements or modeled fluxes (the following dates had one or two measurements due to logistical reasons: 16 May (TW and TE), 7 August (TW and TE), 30 August (TW and TE), and 28 September (TE)). Photosynthesis is calculated as the difference between soil respiration and net CO_2 flux (data not shown). A positive value is a flux to the atmosphere.




Figure 4. Photosynthesis (calculated as soil respiration minus net CO₂ flux) versus photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD). Each point represents one chamber measurement.

to the atmosphere). Although there is some difference in respiration among sites for certain times of the measurement period, overall PP, TE, and TW fluxes are not significantly different from each other. The means of the individual chamber measurements (± 1 standard deviation) at each of the sites for May 2003 to March 2004 were: PP = 9.07 ± 6.21 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (n = 41); TE = 8.24 ± 4.54 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (n = 65); and TW = 9.25 ± 6.21 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (n = 70).

4.4. Net CO₂ Fluxes and Groundcover Vegetation Photosynthesis

[20] The PP sites were mean net CO₂ sources to the atmosphere on all measurement dates, while the TW sites were mean net CO₂ sinks on most measurement dates (data not shown; net CO₂ flux measurements pertain only to the ground surface as they do not include CO₂ uptake by trees and large shrubs). The TE sites were mean net CO₂ sinks except in early to mid-June and in late August to September. The net CO₂ fluxes were significantly greater (more net CO₂ uptake) at the TE and TW sites than at the PP sites (Mann-Whitney U test, p-values = 0.001, <0.0001) over the entire measurement period. Net CO₂ fluxes at the TE and TW sites were not significantly different from each other. The means of the individual net CO₂ flux chamber measurements (± 1 standard deviation) at each of the sites for May–September 2003 were: PP = 3.48 ± 5.44 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (n = 29); TE = -1.71 ± 7.23 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (n = 49); and TW = -3.22 ± 4.90 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (n = 54). The variation among the sites is primarily a result of differences in photosynthesis, as there was no significant difference in soil respiration rates.

[21] Photosynthesis of groundcover vegetation (net CO₂ minus soil respiration) was significantly different among the three sites (Kruskal-Wallis test, p-value = 0.025; Figures 3d–3f). CO₂ uptake was greatest at the TW sites, with peak rates in late June and early July (peak mean photosynthesis = -21.9 ± 10.9 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ on 8 July 2003). Peak mean photosynthesis for the other sites were: PP = -12.8 ± 8.5 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (7/22/03), and TE = $-19.2 \pm$

10.0 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (16 July 2003). Mean photosynthesis (± 1 standard deviation) calculated from flux measurements during May–September 2003 were: PP = -7.93 ± 6.35 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (n = 29); TE = -10.7 ± 7.80 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (n = 49); and TW = -14.2 ± 9.10 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (n = 50). The difference in groundcover vegetation photosynthesis among the sites may be attributed in part to differences in PAR reaching the ground at each site (Figure 4). The presence of trees reduces light penetration at the PP sites, and to a lesser extent at the TE sites.

4.5. CH₄ Fluxes

[22] The means of the individual CH₄ flux chamber measurements (± 1 standard deviation; Figure 5) for May–September 2003 were: PP = 0.016 ± 0.028 mmol CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (n = 16); TE = 0.030 ± 0.026 mmol CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (n = 34); and TW = 0.45 ± 0.33 mmol CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹ (n = 37). There was no significant difference between fluxes at the PP and TE sites, which emitted small amounts of CH₄ throughout the season. The PP sites were a small net sink of CH₄ on one measurement date. Methane fluxes were significantly greater at the TW sites (Mann-Whitney U test, p-value < 0.0001). The CH₄ emission rates were highly variable among the individual TW sites, and in fact there is a significant difference among them (Kruskal-Wallis test, p-value < 0.0001). Methane emissions from TW#2 (mean = 0.72 ± 0.32 mmol CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹) were significantly greater than from TW 1, 3, and 4 (mean = 0.24 ± 0.10 mmol CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹; Mann-Whitney U test, p-values = 0.001 to 0.008).

4.6. Controls of CO₂ and CH₄ Fluxes

[23] Soil respiration at the PP, TE, and TW sites was positively correlated with hourly 5-cm temperature, accounting for 50–90% of the variability in flux (Figures 6a–6c). The flux-temperature relationship exhibited a seasonal shift at the TW and TE sites, with soil respiration responding more strongly to soil temperature from spring through mid-July than in late July through fall. A record rainfall event of almost 100 mm during 26–28 July




Figure 5. CH₄ flux, 2003–2004 (measurements on 25 March were made in 2004). Each point is the mean (± 1 standard deviation) of four to five measurements (the following dates had two to three measurements at TE and TW owing to logistical reasons: 16 May, 2 June, and 7 August. On 28 September two measurements were made at TE and PP). A positive value is a flux to the atmosphere.

2003 flooded much of the study area, which may have had a dampening effect on respiration. A seasonal shift in the flux-temperature relationship was not evident at the PP sites, where a single exponential relationship was maintained throughout the study period. Soil respiration responded most strongly to soil temperature at PP ($Q_{10} = 4.2$), followed by TE (“early” $Q_{10} = 3.8$, “late” $Q_{10} = 3.3$) and TW (“early” $Q_{10} = 3.4$, “late” $Q_{10} = 1.8$).

[24] Soil respiration and active layer thickness at the PP and TE sites covaried during the season. From spring thaw through early July, there was a linear increase in soil respiration with increasing active layer thickness at both PP and TE. After mid-July active layer thickness continued to increase, but soil respiration steadily decreased. Methane flux was not significantly correlated with soil temperature or soil moisture at any of the site types.

4.7. Pore Water CO₂ and CH₄ Concentrations at TW Sites

[25] Concentrations of dissolved CO₂ and CH₄ in pore waters collected from the four thermokarst wetlands are listed in Table 1. In general the highest dissolved CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations were measured between 0.5 and 1.2 m depth. TW 1 peak CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations were 3–4.5 and 1.5–2.5 times greater than TW 2–4, respectively. Shallow dissolved gas concentrations (0.05–0.2 m) were 2–4.5 times greater at TW 2 than at the other wetlands. Dissolved CH₄ concentrations declined sharply between 0.4 m and 0.2 m at all sites (68–85% reduction) except at TW 2, where they remained high at shallow depths. The higher CH₄ concentrations at shallow depths at TW 2 are consistent with the significantly higher CH₄ fluxes measured there.

[26] High gas concentrations at depth indicate that biological decomposition is occurring throughout the profile.

However, it is unlikely that these deep gases contribute significantly to diffusive flux across the wetland-air interface because gas diffusion is extremely slow in water. To evaluate the potential diffusion rate of dissolved CO₂ and CH₄ through the saturated wetland peat, we calculated one-dimensional diffusion through the water column using a form of Fick’s first law modified for diffusion in porous media [Striegl, 1993],

$$J = -D_C \theta (dC/dz), \quad (2)$$

where J is flux ($\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{t}^{-1}$), D_C is the diffusion constant of CO₂ or CH₄ through water at ambient temperature and pressure ($\text{m}^2 \text{d}^{-1}$), θ is porosity, and dC/dz is the measured concentration gradient of CO₂ or CH₄ ($\text{mmol m}^{-3} \text{m}^{-1}$). We used the portion of the profiles having increasing concentration with depth (generally 0.1–0.5 m), and $D_C = 1.69 \times 10^{-4} \text{m}^2 \text{d}^{-1}$ for CO₂ and CH₄ [Perry, 1963]. Even if it is assumed that $\theta = 1$, and not a more realistic 0.83–0.93 [Letts *et al.*, 2000], mean diffusive fluxes within the wetland peat on 1 August 2003 were only $0.06 \pm 0.06 \text{mmol CO}_2 \text{m}^{-2} \text{hr}^{-1}$ and $0.02 \pm 0.01 \text{mmol CH}_4 \text{m}^{-2} \text{hr}^{-1}$. This suggests that only a small amount of the measured gas flux (4–7%) across the air-water/vegetation interface could come from gas diffusion through deep peat. Therefore biological production of CO₂ and CH₄ at shallow depths and/or modes of gas transport through the peat profile other than diffusion (i.e., ebullition, plant transport) are responsible for the fluxes measured at the surface.

4.8. Estimated Annual C Gas Exchange

[27] We estimated annual CO₂ gas exchange at the surface at each site type by modeling hourly soil respiration and groundcover photosynthesis using the 5-cm soil temperature records and the LTER PAR record for 2003.




Figure 6. (a–c) Soil respiration versus 5-cm soil temperature at (a) PP, (b) TE, and (c) TW. The equations, r^2 values, and standard errors are: (Figure 6a) $y = 2.7021e^{0.1439x}$, $r^2 = 0.90$, $SE = 1.21$; (Figure 6b) Early: $y = 2.971e^{0.1342x}$, $r^2 = 0.91$, $SE = 1.44$; Late: $y = 1.6545e^{0.1191x}$, $r^2 = 0.50$, $SE = 3.08$, (Figure 6c) Early: $y = 2.4586e^{0.121x}$, $r^2 = 0.85$, $SE = 2.34$; Late: $y = 2.3774e^{0.0587x}$, $r^2 = 0.60$, $SE = 1.43$.

Missing portions of the soil temperature record (when data loggers were not installed) were reconstructed using the relationship between 5-cm soil temperature at the sites and 5-cm soil temperature measured at the LTER weather station. We applied the soil respiration-temperature equations for each site type (Figure 6) to the 5-cm soil temperature records to calculate hourly soil respiration, and summed the values for an annual estimate. We modeled hourly groundcover vegetation photosynthesis at each site by applying a rectangular hyperbola equation relating pho-

tosynthesis and radiation [Thornley and Johnson, 1990] to the site-adjusted LTER PAR record,

$$P = (\alpha Q P_{\max} / \alpha Q + P_{\max}) * T / T_{\max}, \quad (3)$$

where P = gross photosynthesis ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), α = apparent quantum yield ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \mu\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ photons}$), Q = photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD; $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), P_{\max} = maximum rate of photosynthesis at saturating light, T = 5-day running mean of 5-cm soil temperature, and $T_{\max} = T$ when P_{\max} was measured (T_{\max} was set to equal one when $T > T_{\max}$). This equation is commonly used to model photosynthesis, and the parameter values for α and P_{\max} are often determined using photosynthesis or net CO_2 and PAR measured at a study site [Ruimy *et al.*, 1995]. We did not have enough flux measurements to accurately determine the parameter values for each site type, so we used a range of parameter values determined by Frolking *et al.* [1998] specific to northern peatlands. For each site type we modeled hourly photosynthesis using parameters for “bogs” ($\alpha = 0.017$, $P_{\max} = 5.2$), “poor fens” ($\alpha = 0.024$, $P_{\max} = 11.5$), and “all peatlands” ($\alpha = 0.020$, $P_{\max} = 9.2$) [Frolking *et al.*, 1998]. We estimated annual groundcover vegetation photosynthesis by summing the hourly fluxes for 19 April to 14 October 2003, assuming that no photosynthesis occurred when mean daily surface temperatures were below 0°C (determined from LTER weather station data).

[28] The modeled fluxes are compared to measured fluxes in Figures 3a–3f. Photosynthesis at the PP sites was best described by the “bogs” parameter values, while photosynthesis at the TW and TE sites was best described by the parameter values for “all peatlands.” The modeled soil respiration and photosynthesis fluxes were not significantly different from the measured fluxes at any of the sites. The annual estimates of soil respiration and groundcover photosynthesis for each site type are listed in Table 2. The upper and lower ranges for soil respiration were calculated by adding or subtracting one standard error determined for the corresponding flux-temperature relationship, and the ranges for photosynthesis are the modeled maximum and minimum fluxes determined using the three sets of parameter values from Frolking *et al.* [1998].

Table 1. Dissolved CO_2 and CH_4 Concentrations in Pore Waters Collected From TW Sites^a

Date	Depth, m	TW1		TW2		TW3		TW4	
		P_{CO_2}	P_{CH_4}	P_{CO_2}	P_{CH_4}	P_{CO_2}	P_{CH_4}	P_{CO_2}	P_{CH_4}
2 July	0.05	4.2	0.25	2.7	0.18	4.0	0.13	1.9	0.066
2 July	0.15	3.0	0.23	4.7	0.56	3.7	0.25	2.4	0.26
1 August	0.1	3.1	0.044	4.7	0.88	2.1	0.20	2.2	0.051
1 August	0.2	4.1	0.32	4.3	1.0	2.2	0.18	2.5	0.057
1 August	0.4	9.2	1.0	7.7	1.3	1.6	0.99	2.9	0.38
1 August	0.5	8.6	1.0	8.3	1.6	4.1	1.4	2.3	0.75
1 August	0.6	9.6	0.86	7.6	1.6	4.7	1.4	5.5	1.7
1 August	0.7	23	2.5	7.4	1.6	7.3	1.7
1 August	0.8	33	3.0	5.4	1.1	1.8	0.49	8.0	1.9
1 August	0.9	31	3.3	4.9	1.0	1.6	0.13	8.1	2.3
1 August	1.0	22	2.8	7.4	2.2	2.1	0.24	7.3	1.3
1 August	1.1	7.2	1.4
1 August	1.2	11	1.1	8.3	1.7

^aAll concentrations are in mmol^{-1} .

Table 2. Annual Modeled CO₂ and CH₄ Fluxes^a

	PP	TE	TW
Respiration	35.9	31.5	33.9
Range	25.3 to 46.5	17.1 to 50.9	19.7 to 51.0
Photosynthesis	-9.9	-15.6	-22.7
Range	-9.9 to -16.6	-10.8 to -19.0	-15.3 to -27.8
Net CH ₄	0.2	0.2	2.6
Range	0.1 to 0.2	0.1 to 0.4	1.4 to 3.5

^aAll values are mol m⁻² yr⁻¹. Annual respiration and photosynthesis were modeled, and annual CH₄ exchange was calculated by summing linearly interpolated values between measurements.

[29] We estimated annual CH₄ exchange at each site type by linear interpolation of the measured fluxes, assuming that mean midday fluxes approximated daily means. We applied CH₄ flux measurements made at the TW sites in March 2004 to 1 January to 24 March and 30 September to 31 December, and applied a flux of 0.017 mmol CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹ at the PP and TE sites for those time periods based on winter CH₄ flux measurements by Wickland *et al.* [1999] in snow-covered subalpine soils. Although this is not an ideal method for estimating annual flux, it is the best method in the absence of a significant relationship with an environmental variable such as temperature or water table. The annual estimates are in Table 2, with upper and lower ranges determined by linear interpolation of individual site fluxes.

5. Discussion

5.1. CO₂ Exchange

[30] The main source of soil respiration (root plus heterotrophic respiration) during the measurement period at all the sites is likely the surface peat (upper 10 cm). The significant positive relationships between 5-cm temperature and soil respiration are evidence of this. Even though the active layer is deep at the TW sites, the amount of CO₂ diffusing through the saturated peat only accounted for a maximum of 4% of the CO₂ flux measured at the surface. The existence of high concentrations of CO₂ and CH₄ down to 1.2 m depths in the TW sites confirms that decomposition is occurring at depth [Clymo, 1984], but at very slow rates compared to surficial soil respiration. Factors that are often identified as contributing to slow decomposition rates of deep organic matter include low O₂ availability in saturated soils, low temperatures, and substrate recalcitrance [Schlesinger, 1977; Clymo, 1984; Yavitt *et al.*, 1987].

[31] Soil respiration rates at the TW sites (mean = 9.25 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹) are similar to respiration measured by Bubier *et al.* [1998] (collapse bog July–August mean = 8.78 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹, collapse fen July–August mean = 11.52 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹). They are 1 to 2 orders of magnitude greater than what Turetsky *et al.* [2002] measured (collapse wetland mean = 0.11 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹), which may be owing to differences in chamber measurement technique. Mean soil respiration rates at the TE sites (8.24 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹) and the PP sites (9.07 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹) are comparable to mean respiration at a Manitoba black spruce forest and a black spruce palsa during May–September (7.58 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ [Moosavi and Crill, 1997], 8.33 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹

[Savage *et al.*, 1997]). Soil respiration at the permafrost soils was more responsive to temperature (PP Q₁₀ = 4.2) compared to soil respiration of thermokarst wetlands (TW Q₁₀ = 1.8–3.4). The Q₁₀s that we measured are similar to the range measured by Bubier *et al.* [1998] for a collapse bog, a collapse poor fen, and intermediate and rich fens (Q₁₀ = 3.0–4.1). The seasonal shift in the soil respiration-temperature relationships at the TE and TW sites suggest that variables other than soil temperature were limiting respiration late in the season.

[32] Our annual estimate of soil respiration was slightly greater at PP than at TW (35.9 vs. 33.9 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹), despite the TW sites having an active layer five times thicker than the PP sites. We can account for differences in root respiration by assuming that ~55% of the total respiration from the PP sites is autotrophic (root respiration = 54–56% of total soil respiration in a Canada black spruce forest [Uchida *et al.*, 1998; Ruess *et al.*, 2003]), and that ~40% of the total soil respiration at the TW sites is autotrophic (root respiration = 35–45% of total peatland respiration [Silvola *et al.*, 1996]). The resulting estimate of heterotrophic respiration is somewhat higher at the TW sites than at the PP sites (20.3 versus 16.2 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹). Normalizing heterotrophic respiration to active layer thickness suggests that decomposition rates per unit of thawed soil are 4 times faster at the PP sites than at the TW sites (36 versus 9 mol C m⁻³ yr⁻¹).

[33] The difference in the amount of light reaching groundcover vegetation, as well as variations in moss types and moisture availability are likely responsible for the differences in photosynthesis and net CO₂ exchange between the sites. *Sphagnum* mosses have greater average NPP than feathermosses [Bisbee *et al.*, 2001], which may explain in part why mean photosynthesis was significantly greater at the TW sites. In addition any *Sphagnum* present at the PP and TE sites may have been moisture-limited because *Sphagnum* moss photosynthesis is highly sensitive to moisture [Silvola, 1991; Williams and Flanagan, 1996; McNeil and Waddington, 2003]. Tuittila *et al.* [2004] measured the optimum water table level for photosynthesis by *S. angustifolium* as -12 cm, with decreased photosynthesis at lower water table levels. Peak photosynthesis at the TW sites (-21.9 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹) was comparable to maximum photosynthesis rates of -18.2 and -23.0 mmol CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹ measured by Bubier *et al.* [1998] at a collapse bog and a collapse fen.

5.2. CH₄ Exchange

[34] Thermokarst development substantially increases CH₄ emissions from these ecosystems. Mean CH₄ fluxes for all sites were similar to those measured by Bubier *et al.* [1995a] (0.015–0.050 mmol CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹ at peat plateaus, 0.16–0.28 mmol CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹ at open bogs and poor fens), Moosavi *et al.* [1996] (0.11–1.06 mmol CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹ at wetland sites), Liblik *et al.* [1997] (-0.003 mmol CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹ at permafrost peat, 0.55 mmol CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹ at a collapse bog), and Savage *et al.* [1997] (-0.0007 mmol CH₄ m⁻² hr⁻¹ at a palsa). The mean CH₄ emission rate was about 20 times greater from the thermokarst wetlands than at the edge and permafrost plateau sites. Similar increases have been documented in other studies: Turetsky *et al.* [2002] measured a 30-fold increase and Bubier *et al.*

[1995a] measured up to a 19-fold increase between permafrost peat and collapse wetlands. *Moosavi et al.* [1996] and *Liblik et al.* [1997] measured >100-fold increases in CH₄ emissions at their study sites. At a larger landscape scale, *Christensen et al.* [2004] calculated that changes in hydrology and vegetation caused by permafrost thawing in a poorly drained area of Sweden have led to 22–66% increases in CH₄ emissions over 30 years.

[35] Methane flux was not significantly correlated with soil temperature or soil moisture at any of the site types. *Bubier et al.* [1995a] and *Liblik et al.* [1997] found that within-site temporal variability of CH₄ flux from collapse wetlands was only weakly related to temperature and to water table position. In contrast, *Moosavi et al.* [1996] measured a significant exponential relationship between shallow soil temperature and CH₄ flux from their wetland sites over two years. Diffusive CH₄ flux from deep peat at the TW sites accounted for a maximum of 7% of the measured CH₄ flux, indicating that shallow CH₄ production was responsible for the majority of CH₄ flux at the surface, and/or that ebullitive transport of deep (>0.1 m) CH₄ to the surface occurred [*Christensen et al.*, 2003; *Rosenberry et al.*, 2003; *Glaser et al.*, 2004]. All individual CH₄ chamber measurements at the wetlands exhibited steady increases of CH₄ concentration with time, suggesting that episodic ebullitive transport did not occur during our measurements. However, this does not exclude the possibility that ebullitive transport was occurring, as *Baird et al.* [2004] found that both regular and episodic ebullition patterns occur in peat.

[36] Methane flux was significantly higher at TW 2 than at the other TW sites. Dissolved CH₄ concentrations were about equal at 0.6–0.7 m depth in all four wetland sites suggesting that deep CH₄ production rates are similar. The steep reduction in dissolved CH₄ concentrations in the upper 0.05–0.20 m on both sampling dates at TW 1, 3, and 4 may be a result of high CH₄ oxidation rates relative to CH₄ production. *Moosavi and Crill* [1998] determined that arctic wet sedge communities in Alaska oxidized up to ~80% of gross CH₄ production, but that oxidation was highly variable (0–80% oxidation) both spatially and temporally. All TW sites had vascular vegetation, which is known to enhance CH₄ transport through potential oxidation zones [*Dacey and Klug*, 1979; *Whiting and Chanton*, 1992]. *Bubier et al.* [1995a, 1995b] found that certain moss species were associated with higher CH₄ flux as they were good indicators of mean water table position, but the wetlands in this study were fairly similar with regards to moss species and to water table position through the season. The main difference between the wetlands is that TW 2 appears to be an older wetland based on the almost total absence of standing dead trees, no open water, and the establishment of dwarf birch shrubs.

5.3. Estimated Annual C Gas Exchange

[37] Our modeled estimates of annual soil respiration agree with estimates in black spruce forests of Saskatchewan by *O'Connell et al.* [2003] of 26.6 and 47.0 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹, and by *Swanson and Flanagan* [2001] of 33.0 mol C m⁻² for May–October. *Ruess et al.* [2003] estimated a larger growing season soil respiration term of 41.7–52.0 mol C m⁻² (May–September 1999) at floodplain black spruce forest sites located within 8 km of our sites;

while *Schlentner and Van Cleve* [1985] reported a 2-year growing season average soil respiration of 30.7 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹ for upland and floodplain black spruce stands located in the same vicinity. Our groundcover vegetation photosynthesis estimates agree with estimates by *Swanson and Flanagan* [2001], who modeled gross photosynthetic uptake as –26.0 and –9.0 mol C m⁻² for *Sphagnum* and feather moss communities, respectively, during May–October.

[38] On the basis of our modeling results of soil respiration and groundcover vegetation photosynthesis we estimated that all sites were net C sources to the atmosphere during 2003 (PP = 26.2, TE = 16.1, TW = 13.8 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹). However, the range in our estimates was large enough that the TE and TW sites may have been net C sinks (TE = –1.8, TW = –6.7 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹). In addition, our estimates do not include CO₂ uptake by black spruce and large shrubs at the PP and TE sites. *Ruess et al.* [2003] measured mean aboveground and belowground annual NPP of black spruce trees and shrubs as 391 g biomass m⁻² yr⁻¹ at three sites having similar tree basal areas to ours (11.2–11.9 m² ha⁻¹; this study = 8.7 m² ha⁻¹). Assuming biomass is 50% C [*Gower et al.*, 1997], we can estimate that 16.3 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹ was assimilated to biomass at those sites. If we assume that annual black spruce and shrub NPP from *Ruess et al.* [2003] is applicable to our study site, the PP and TE sites could have been net C sinks of up to –7.5 and –18.1 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹, respectively. There were no live trees in any of the thermokarst wetlands to offset the net C loss that we calculated.

[39] Our annual C gas exchange estimates are comparable to those measured by *Heikkinen et al.* [2004] of 1.3 and 2.3 mol C m⁻² 100 d⁻¹ loss to the atmosphere (June–September) from *Sphagnum* peat plateau and a thermokarst lake in the discontinuous permafrost zone of eastern Russia, respectively. *O'Connell et al.* [2003] measured a net loss of 10.7 ± 1.2 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹ from a poorly drained black spruce-*Sphagnum* forest, and *Swanson and Flanagan* [2001] estimated a C loss at the forest floor of 21.3 mol C m⁻² from May–October. Net ecosystem C exchange estimates often have large terms of uncertainty because the difference between production and decomposition is small, and standard errors in estimates can span the range of net C source and sink [*Bubier et al.*, 1999]. Our annual C gas exchange estimates fall under this category. A term we did not include in our C exchange estimates was DOC/POC transfer into and out of the system, which can be significant in some areas undergoing permafrost degradation [*Malmer et al.*, 2005]. Our poorly drained sites did not have any surface water inputs or outputs; thus we do not expect that DOC/POC export is significant.

[40] The Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CH₄ equals 62 on a 20-year time horizon, meaning that on a per mass basis the relative radiative forcing of CH₄ is 62 times greater than that of CO₂ when integrated over 20 years [*IPCC*, 1996, 2001]. The GWP of CH₄ declines on longer time-scales due to differences in lifetime between CH₄ and CO₂ in the atmosphere (GWP = 23, 100-yr horizon; GWP = 7, 500-yr horizon [*IPCC*, 2001]). Therefore, in terms of greenhouse gases, a system that emits CH₄ may contribute to the greenhouse effect even if it is removing CO₂ from the atmosphere [*Whiting and Chanton*, 2001; *Friborg et al.*, 2003]. The GWP (20-year horizon) of the annual CH₄ and

net CO₂ exchange of a system can be calculated by multiplying the mass of CH₄ emitted by 62, and adding that to the net mass of CO₂ consumed (or emitted). If the sum is negative, then the emissions have a net negative radiative forcing on the climate. A positive value indicates that the emissions exert a positive net radiative forcing over that time horizon. Our annual estimate of CH₄ (42 g CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹) and net CO₂ (607 g CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹) exchange at the thermokarst wetlands have a GWP = 3211 (20-year horizon). The CH₄ emissions continue to exert positive radiative forcing on longer timescales (GWP = 1573, 100 years; GWP = 901, 500 years). *Friborg et al.* [2003] calculated that the GWP of annual CH₄ and CO₂ exchange at Siberian wetlands equaled 1216 (20-year horizon) and 202 (100-year horizon). Annual CH₄ emission from the TW sites was about 1.6 times greater than from the Siberian wetlands of *Friborg et al.* [2003]. If we assume that net CO₂ exchange at the permafrost and edge sites in our study was 9.9 and -0.2 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹ after accounting for tree NPP, then GWP = 634 and 190 (20-year horizon), 509 and 65 (100-year horizon), and 458 and 14 (500-year horizon).

[41] The GWP values stated here consider the annual emissions as a single pulse emission rather than as a continuous sustained emission. *Frolking et al.* [2006] conclude that under the scenario of sustained CH₄ emission and C sequestration in peatlands, the radiative forcing of CH₄ emissions on climate diminishes after about 50 years and that C sequestration then has a net cooling impact lasting thousands of years. Even under this scenario, however, significant increases in CH₄ emissions and only small changes in CO₂ uptake as a result of large-scale thermokarst wetland formation [*Camill, 2005; Jorgenson et al., 2006*] may have significant short-term impact on climate as radiative forcing will respond more rapidly to changes in CH₄ fluxes than to changes in CO₂ fluxes [*Frolking et al., 2006*].

6. Conclusions

[42] The formation of thermokarst wetlands upon localized permafrost melting in a poorly drained forest resulted in a 13-fold increase in estimated annual CH₄ emission. Soil respiration was not significantly different between permafrost soils and wetlands, but the wetlands had greater groundcover vegetation photosynthesis. Shallow soils appeared to be the main source of CO₂ and CH₄ emissions at all sites, even at the thermokarst wetlands where the active layer was >2 m. Shallow soil temperature explained between 50 and 91% of the variation in respiration of permafrost soils, and 60–85% of the variation in wetland soil respiration. Methane emissions were not significantly related to soil temperature or soil moisture at any of the sites, and were variable among the wetlands. The potential impact of increased CH₄ emissions from the thermokarst wetlands on climate may far outweigh the magnitude of increased CO₂ uptake, especially when considering widespread thermokarst wetland formation.

[43] **Acknowledgments.** We thank J. Harden for her assistance in locating the field site and her input on study design, J. Hollingsworth of the Bonanza Creek LTER for logistical support for winter sampling, B. Charlton of Bonanza Creek LTER and the Boreal Ecology Cooperative Research Unit for providing LTER weather station data, J. Lukas for dendrochronology

analyses, M. Turetsky for assistance with moss species identification, and the following people for assistance with field measurements: Z. Frederick, P. Schuster, D. Fernandez, A. Ivanova, M. Dornblaser, M. Walvoord, and M.J. Baedecker. We would also like to thank J. Vogel, L. Chasar, T. Moore, and two anonymous reviewers for their comments, which helped to greatly improve this paper. The use of brand names does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.

References

- Baird, A. J., C. W. Beckwith, S. Waldron, and J. M. Waddington (2004), Ebullition of methane-containing gas bubbles from near-surface *Sphagnum* peat, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *31*, L21505, doi:10.1029/2004GL021157.
- Billings, W. D. (1987), Carbon balance of Alaskan tundra and taiga ecosystems: Past, present, and future, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, *6*, 165–177.
- Bisbee, K. E., S. T. Gower, J. M. Norman, and E. V. Nordheim (2001), Environmental controls on ground cover species composition and productivity in a boreal black spruce forest, *Oecologia*, *129*, 261–270.
- Brown, J., O. J. Ferrans Jr., J. A. Heginbottom, and E. S. Melnikov (1997), Circum-Arctic map of permafrost and ground-ice conditions, *Circumpac. Map Ser. CP-45*, U.S. Geol. Surv., Washington, D. C.
- Bubier, J. L., T. R. Moore, L. Bellisario, N. T. Comer, and P. M. Crill (1995a), Ecological controls on methane emissions from a northern peatland complex in the zone of discontinuous permafrost, Manitoba, Canada, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *9*(4), 455–470.
- Bubier, J. L., T. R. Moore, and S. Juggins (1995b), Predicting methane emissions from bryophyte distribution in northern Canadian peatlands, *Ecology*, *76*(3), 677–693.
- Bubier, J. L., P. M. Crill, T. R. Moore, K. Savage, and R. K. Varner (1998), Seasonal patterns and controls on net ecosystem CO₂ exchange in a boreal peatland complex, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *12*(4), 703–714.
- Bubier, J. L., S. Frolking, P. M. Crill, and E. Linder (1999), Net ecosystem productivity and its uncertainty in a diverse boreal peatland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *104*(D22), 27,683–27,692.
- Camill, P. (2005), Permafrost thaw accelerates in boreal peatlands during late-20th century climate warming, *Clim. Change*, *68*, 135–152.
- Camill, P., J. A. Lynch, J. S. Clark, J. B. Adams, and B. Jordan (2001), Changes in biomass, aboveground net primary production, and peat accumulation following permafrost thaw in the boreal peatlands of Manitoba, Canada, *Ecosystems*, *4*, 461–478.
- Christensen, T. R., N. Panikov, M. Mastepanov, A. Joabsson, A. Stewart, M. Öquist, M. Sommerkorn, S. Reynaud, and B. Svensson (2003), Biotic controls on CO₂ and CH₄ exchange in wetlands—A closed environment study, *Biogeochemistry*, *64*, 337–354.
- Christensen, T. R., T. Johansson, H. J. Akerman, M. Mastepanov, N. Malmer, T. Friborg, P. Crill, and B. H. Svensson (2004), Thawing sub-arctic permafrost: Effects on vegetation and methane emissions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *31*, L04501, doi:10.1029/2003GL018680.
- Clymo, R. S. (1984), The limits to peat bog growth, *Philos. Trans. Soc. R., Ser. B*, *303*, 605–654.
- Dacey, J. W. H., and M. J. Klug (1979), Methane efflux from lake sediments through water lilies, *Science*, *203*, 1253–1255.
- Friborg, T., H. Soegaard, T. R. Christensen, C. R. Lloyd, and N. S. Panikov (2003), Siberian wetlands: Where a sink is a source, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *30*(21), 2129, doi:10.1029/2003GL017797.
- Frolking, S. E., et al. (1998), Relationship between ecosystem productivity and photosynthetically active radiation for northern peatlands, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *12*(1), 115–126.
- Frolking, S., N. Roulet, and J. Fuglestedt (2006), How northern peatlands influence the Earth's relative radiative budget: Sustained methane emission versus carbon sequestration, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, G01008, doi:10.1029/2005JG000091.
- Glaser, P. H., J. P. Chanton, P. Morin, D. O. Rosenberry, D. I. Siegel, O. Ruud, L. I. Chasar, and A. S. Reeve (2004), Surface deformations as indicators of deep ebullition fluxes in a large northern peatland, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *18*, GB1003, doi:10.1029/2003GB002069.
- Gorham, E. (1991), Northern peatlands: Role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to climatic warming, *Ecol. Appl.*, *1*, 182–195.
- Goulden, M. L., et al. (1998), Sensitivity of boreal forest carbon balance to soil thaw, *Science*, *279*, 214–217.
- Gower, S. T., J. G. Vogel, J. M. Norman, C. J. Kucharik, S. J. Steele, and T. K. Stow (1997), Carbon distribution and aboveground net primary production in aspen, jack pine, and black spruce stands in Saskatchewan and Manitoba, Canada, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *102*(D24), 29,029–29,041.
- Healy, R. W., R. G. Striegl, T. F. Russell, G. L. Hutchinson, and G. P. Livingston (1996), Numerical evaluation of static-chamber measurements of soil-atmosphere gas exchange: Identification of physical processes, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, *60*, 740–747.
- Heikkinen, J. E. P., T. Virtanen, J. T. Huttunen, V. Elsakov, and P. J. Martikainen (2004), Carbon balance in east European tundra, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *18*, GB1023, doi:10.1029/2003GB002054.

- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (1996), *Climate Change 1995: The Science of Climate Change*, 572 pp., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2001), *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis—Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, 881 pp., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.
- Jorgenson, M. T., C. H. Racine, J. C. Walters, and T. E. Osterkamp (2001), Permafrost degradation and ecological changes associated with a warming climate in central Alaska, *Clim. Change*, *48*, 551–579.
- Jorgenson, M. T., Y. L. Shur, and E. R. Pullman (2006), Abrupt increase in permafrost degradation in Arctic Alaska, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *33*, L02503, doi:10.1029/2005GL024960.
- Judd, C. M., and G. H. McClelland (1989), *Data Analysis: A Model-Comparison Approach*, 635 pp., Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Orlando, Fla.
- Letts, M. G., N. T. Roulet, N. T. Comer, M. R. Skarupa, and D. L. Versegny (2000), Parameterization of peatland hydraulic properties for the Canadian Land Surface Scheme, *Atmos. Ocean*, *38*(1), 141–160.
- Liblik, L. K., T. R. Moore, J. L. Bubier, and S. D. Robinson (1997), Methane emissions from wetlands in the zone of discontinuous permafrost: Fort Simpson, Northwest Territories, Canada, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *11*(4), 485–494.
- Lloyd, A. H., K. Yoshikawa, C. L. Fastie, L. Hinzman, and M. Fraver (2003), Effects of permafrost degradation on woody vegetation at Arctic treeline on the Seward Peninsula, Alaska, *Permafrost Periglacial Processes*, *14*, 93–101.
- Malmner, N., T. Johansson, M. Olsrud, and T. R. Christensen (2005), Vegetation, climatic changes and net carbon sequestration in a North-Scandinavian subarctic mire over 30 years, *Global Change Biol.*, *11*, 1895–1909.
- McNeil, P., and J. M. Waddington (2003), Moisture controls on *Sphagnum* growth and CO₂ exchange on a cut-over bog, *J. Appl. Ecol.*, *40*, 354–367.
- Moosavi, S. C., and P. M. Crill (1997), Controls on CH₄ and CO₂ emissions along two moisture gradients in the Canadian boreal zone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *102*(D24), 29,261–29,277.
- Moosavi, S. C., and P. M. Crill (1998), CH₄ oxidation by tundra wetlands as measured by a selective inhibitor technique, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *103*(D22), 29,093–29,106.
- Moosavi, S. C., P. M. Crill, E. R. Pullman, D. W. Funk, and K. M. Peterson (1996), Controls on CH₄ flux from an Alaskan boreal wetland, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *10*(2), 287–296.
- O’Connell, K. E. B., S. T. Gower, and J. M. Norman (2003), Net ecosystem production of two contrasting boreal black spruce forest communities, *Ecosystems*, *6*, 248–260.
- Oechel, W. C., S. J. Hastings, G. L. Vourlitis, M. Jenkins, G. H. Riechers, and N. E. Grulke (1993), Recent change in Arctic tundra ecosystems from a net carbon dioxide sink to a source, *Nature*, *361*, 520–523.
- Osterkamp, T. E., and V. E. Romanovsky (1999), Evidence for warming and thawing of discontinuous permafrost in Alaska, *Permafrost Periglacial Processes*, *10*, 17–37.
- Osterkamp, T. E., L. Viereck, Y. Shur, M. T. Jorgenson, C. Racine, A. Doyle, and R. D. Boone (2000), Observations of thermokarst and its impact on boreal forests in Alaska, U.S.A., *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.*, *32*(3), 303–315.
- Payette, S., A. Delwaide, M. Caccianiga, and M. Beauchemin (2004), Accelerated thawing of subarctic peatland permafrost over the last 50 years, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *31*, L18208, doi:10.1029/2004GL020358.
- Perry, J. H. (Ed.) (1963), *Chemical Engineers’ Handbook*, 4th ed., 3029 pp., McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Plummer, L. N., and E. Busenberg (1982), The solubility of calcite, aragonite and vaterite in CO₂–H₂O solutions between 0 and 90°C, and an evaluation of the aqueous model for CaCO₃–CO₂–H₂O, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, *44*, 1011–1040.
- Post, W. M., W. R. Emmanuel, P. J. Zinke, and A. G. Stangenberger (1982), Soil carbon pools and world life zones, *Nature*, *289*, 156–159.
- Quinton, W. L., and P. Marsh (1999), A conceptual framework for runoff generation in a permafrost environment, *Hydrol. Processes*, *13*, 2563–2581.
- Robinson, S. D., and T. R. Moore (1999), Carbon and peat accumulation over the past 1200 years in a landscape with discontinuous permafrost, northwestern Canada, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *13*(2), 591–601.
- Robinson, S. D., and T. R. Moore (2000), The influence of permafrost and fire upon carbon accumulation in high boreal peatlands, Northwest Territories, Canada, *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.*, *32*(2), 155–166.
- Rolston, D. E. (1993), Gas flux, in *Methods of Soil Analysis*, 2nd ed., Part 1, *Agron. Monogr.*, vol. 9, edited by A. Klute, pp. 1103–1120, Am. Stand. Assoc., Madison, Wis.
- Rosenberry, D. O., P. H. Glaser, D. I. Siegel, and E. P. Weeks (2003), Use of hydraulic head to estimate volumetric gas content and ebullition flux in northern peatlands, *Water Resour. Res.*, *39*(3), 1066, doi:10.1029/2002WR001377.
- Ruess, R. W., R. L. Henrick, A. J. Burton, K. S. Pregitzer, B. Sveinbjornsson, M. F. Allen, and G. E. Maurer (2003), Coupling fine root dynamics with ecosystem carbon cycling in black spruce forests of interior Alaska, *Ecol. Monogr.*, *73*(4), 643–662.
- Ruimy, A., P. G. Jarvis, D. D. Baldocchi, and B. Saugier (1995), CO₂ fluxes over plant canopies and solar radiation: A review, *Adv. Ecol.*, *26*, 1–68.
- Savage, K., T. R. Moore, and P. M. Crill (1997), Methane and carbon dioxide exchanges between the atmosphere and northern boreal forest soils, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *102*(D24), 29,279–29,288.
- Schlentner, R. E., and K. Van Cleve (1985), Relationships between CO₂ evolution from soil, substrate temperature, and substrate moisture in four mature forest types in interior Alaska, *Can. J. For. Res.*, *15*, 97–106.
- Schlesinger, W. H. (1977), Carbon balance in terrestrial detritus, *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, *8*, 51–81.
- Shaver, G. R., W. D. Billings, F. S. Chapin III, A. E. Giblin, K. J. Nadelhoffer, W. C. Oechel, and E. B. Rastetter (1992), Global change and the carbon balance of arctic ecosystems, *BioScience*, *42*, 433–441.
- Silvola, J. (1991), Moisture dependence of CO₂ exchange and its recovery after drying in certain boreal forest and peat mosses, *Lindbergia*, *17*, 5–10.
- Silvola, J., J. Alm, U. Ahlholm, H. Nykanen, and P. J. Martikainen (1996), The contribution of plant roots to CO₂ fluxes from organic soils, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, *23*(2), 126–132.
- Smith, L. C., G. M. MacDonald, A. A. Velichko, D. W. Beilman, O. K. Borisova, K. E. Frey, K. V. Kremenetski, and Y. Sheng (2004), Siberian peatlands a net carbon sink and global methane source since the early Holocene, *Science*, *303*, 353–356.
- Stendel, M., and J. H. Christensen (2002), Impact of global warming on permafrost conditions in a coupled GCM, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *29*(13), 1632, doi:10.1029/2001GL014345.
- Striegl, R. G. (1993), Diffusional limits to the consumption of atmospheric methane by soils, *Chemosphere*, *26*(1–4), 715–720.
- Striegl, R. G., P. Kortelainen, J. P. Chanton, K. P. Wickland, G. C. Bugna, and M. Rantakari (2001), Carbon dioxide partial pressure and ¹³C content of north temperate and boreal lakes at spring ice melt, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, *46*(4), 941–945.
- Swanson, R. V., and L. B. Flanagan (2001), Environmental regulation of carbon dioxide exchange at the forest floor in a boreal black spruce ecosystem, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, *108*, 165–181.
- Thornley, J. H. M., and I. R. Johnson (1990), *Plant and Crop Modeling: A Mathematical Approach to Plant and Crop Physiology*, Clarendon, Oxford, U. K.
- Tuittila, E.-S., H. Vasander, and J. Laine (2004), Sensitivity of C sequestration in reintroduced *Sphagnum* to water-level variation in a cutaway peatland, *Restoration Ecol.*, *12*(4), 483–493.
- Turetsky, M. R., R. K. Wieder, C. J. Williams, and D. H. Vitt (2000), Organic matter accumulation, peat chemistry, and permafrost melting in peatlands of boreal Alberta, *Ecoscience*, *7*(3), 379–392.
- Turetsky, M. R., R. K. Wieder, and D. H. Vitt (2002), Boreal peatland C fluxes under varying permafrost regimes, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, *34*, 907–912.
- Uchida, M., T. Nakatsubo, T. Horikoshi, and K. Nakane (1998), Contribution of micro-organisms to the carbon dynamics in black spruce (*Picea mariana*) forest soil in Canada, *Ecol. Res.*, *13*, 17–26.
- Van Cleve, K., and C. T. Dymess (1983), Introduction and overview of a multidisciplinary research project: The structure and function of a black spruce (*Picea mariana*) forest in relation to other fire-affected taiga ecosystems, *Can. J. For. Res.*, *13*, 695–702.
- Whiting, G. J., and J. P. Chanton (1992), Plant-dependent CH₄ emission in a subarctic Canadian fen, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *6*(3), 225–231.
- Whiting, G. J., and J. P. Chanton (2001), Greenhouse carbon balance of wetlands: Methane emission versus carbon sequestration, *Tellus, Ser. B*, *53*, 521–528.
- Whittaker, R. H., and G. E. Likens (1975), *Primary Production of the Biosphere*, Springer, New York.
- Wickland, K. P., R. G. Striegl, S. K. Schmidt, and M. A. Mast (1999), Methane flux in subalpine wetland and unsaturated soils in the southern Rocky Mountains, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *13*(1), 101–113.
- Williams, T. G., and L. B. Flanagan (1996), Effects of changes in water content on photosynthesis, transpiration, and discrimination against ¹³CO₂ and C¹⁸O¹⁶O in *Pleurozium* and *Sphagnum*, *Oecologia*, *108*, 38–46.
- Yamamoto, S., J. B. Alcauskas, and T. E. Crozier (1976), Solubility of methane in distilled water and seawater, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, *21*, 78–80.
- Yavitt, J. B., G. E. Lang, and R. K. Wieder (1987), Control of carbon mineralization to CH₄ and CO₂ in anaerobic, *Sphagnum-*

derived peat from Big Run Bog, West Virginia, *Biogeochemistry*, 4, 141–157.

J. C. Neff, University of Colorado, Department of Geological Sciences, 2200 Colorado Avenue, Boulder, CO, USA.

T. Sachs, Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, D-14473 Potsdam, Germany.

R. G. Striegl, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver Federal Center, Lakewood, CO 80025, USA.

K. P. Wickland, U.S. Geological Survey, 3215 Marine Street, Boulder, CO 80303, USA. (kpwick@usgs.gov)