Multiple correspondence Analysis – Labels for the analysis of survey data

Variables	Modes	Labels
GDP (Mln Euro/Mln R$)	− GDP Small: <76 Mln euro/ <417Mln R$ − GDP Medium: 76 Mln euro <x < 393,33 Mln euro/ > 417 Mln R$ <X <1147 Mln R$ − GDP Large: >394 Mln euro/ >1147 Mln R$	GDP-small GDP-medium GDP-large
Sex	− M − F	Gender-M Gender-F
Age	− <30 − [30-50] − [50-60] − >60	Age <30 Age[30-50] Age[50-60] Age>60

Routines

B.1- Investment for citizens environmental education and communication	− Investment − No Investment − I do not Know	B.1-Yes B.1-Not B.1 -Dontknow
B.2 - Investment in local environmental monitoring and reporting	− Investment − Activities without a planned budget allocation − No Investment − I do not Know	B.2-Yes B.2-NotPlanned B.2 -No B.2 -Dontknow
B.3 - Investment in Officials environmental education and training	− Investment − Activities without a planned budget allocation − No Investment − I do not Know	B.3-Yes B.3-NotPlanned B.3 -No B.3- Dontknow
B.4 -Main source of knowledge consulted for decision-making	− Consultation of media and scientific literature − Consultation of other administrations and experts − Collaboration with internal and external stakeholder to acquire knowledge	B.4 - Internet&journals B.4- Publ&Ext. Agency B.4- co-research
C.1-Last three years investment in public participation	− Investment − Activities without monetary fund allocated − No Investment − I do not Know	C.1-Yes C.1-NotPlanned C.1- No C.1 -Dontknow

C.2 -Main category of stakeholder involved in public participation	*The main partner of EOs in the decision making process is:* − Citizens − Civil Society Organizations and Ngo − Others governmental and public institutions − Private Company − Trade Associations − University − Others	C.2-Citizens C.2-NGO&Ass C.2-Gov&Publ C.2-PrivCom C.2-TradeAss ------------------- * C.2-Others
C.3 -Use of public participation as an ordinary tool of environmental policy	− Use of participation and acknowledgement of its relevance − Acknowledgement of participation relevance but no use of participatory tool − Use of participatory process just for legal accomplishment without reliance − No use of participatory process for lack of reliance on it − I do not know	C.3-Consid&Used C.3-Consid&Notused C.3-Used&Notconsidered C.3-NotCons&Notused ------------------- * C.3-Dontknow

Attitudes

B.5-Reliance on lay knowledge to improve public decisions	− Reliance on lay knowledge as relevant and usable for policy − Acknowledgment of lay knowledge relevance but not of its usability − Poor reliance on lay knowledge and no acknowledgement of its usability − no reliance on lay knowledge relevance and usability − I do not know	B.5-Reliab&Usable -------------------- * B.5-NotReliab&NotUsable B.5- PoorlyReliab&NotUsable B.5-Reliab&NotUsable -------------------- *
B.6 Reliance on knowledge integration to improve public decisions	− High reliance on knowledge integration for policy − Relative reliance on knowledge integration (it is deemed as context-dependent) − Perception of high complexity of knowledge integration for policy − No reliance on knowledge integration − I do not know	B.6-Fundamental B.6-Context-related B.6-Complicated ---------------------- * ----------------------*
C.5 Opinion on relevance of public participation as tool to improve decision making	− Public participation is decisively relevant for my work − Public participation is important but not determinant − I have no experience of public participation	C.5-YesDecisively C.5-NotDeterminant

		C.5-NoExperience
C.6 Experience of public participation and related learning effects	*Main categories of participants from which the EO think he/she learns are...:* − Colleagues − Expert and technicians − Civil Society Organization and Associations − Citizens − No experience of participatory process − All involved categories of participants − I do not know	C.6-YesColleagues C.6-YesExp&tech C.6-YesNGO&Ass C.6-YesCitizens C.6-NoExperience C.6-YesAll C.6-Dontknow
C.7.1 Relevance assigned to public participation as tool to enhance citizens learning	− Irrelevant − Not much relevant − Relevant − Very relevant − Needful	---------------------- * C.7.1-PoorlyRelevant C.7.1-Relevant C.7.1-VeryRelevant C.7.1-ExtremRelevant
C.7.2 Relevance assigned to public participation as tool to enhance environmental officers learning	− Irrelevant − Not much relevant − Relevant − Very relevant − Needful	-------------------- * C.7.2-PoorlyRelevant C.7.2-Relevant C.7.2-VeryRelevant C.7.2-ExtremRelevant
C.7.3 Relevance assigned to public participation as tool to build social consensus	− Irrelevant − Not much relevant − Relevant − Very relevant − Needful	---------------------- * C.7.3-PoorlyRelevant C.7.3-Relevant C.7.3-VeryRelevant C.7.3-ExtremRelevant

Other variables

Nationality	− Brazil − Italy	Nationality-BR Nationality-IT

*This *mode* has no corresponding label, since it has a too small value and cannot be represented in one of the two charts