Estimating Pine Island Ice Shelf melt rates from hydrography and an ocean circulation model

British

Antarctic Survey

Martin Losch¹, Patrick Heimbach², Michael Schodlok³, Pierre Dutrieux⁴

(1) Alfred-Wegener-Institut (AWI), Bremerhaven, Germany, (2) Massachusetts Institute of Technology, EAPS, Cambridge, MA, USA, (3) University of California Los Angeles, JIFRESSE, Los Angeles, CA, USA, (4) British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, UK

Approach

- ocean circulation model represents 3D flow in sub-ice cavities (MITgcm at 1km resolution, including thermodynamic melt rate parameterization following Losch, 2008), sub-domain with boundary conditions of Schodlok et al. (2012)
- fit model hydrography to observations from different sources: CTD, AUV (Jenkins et al. 2010, M. Schröder, unpublished data) with the help of an adjoint model based on automatic differentiation (Heimbach and Losch, 2012)
- control parameters: boundary conditions, initial conditions, melt rates, vertical mixing coefficients



JERESSE AVVI 💮



HELMHOLTZ

Bed rock bathymetry (color, in m) and ice shelf geometry (contours, in m) (RTOPO, Timmermann et al. 2010) of the model and data locations. The white contour indicates the ice edge.



Melt rate (in color, in m/a) and vertically integrated stream function (contours, in intervals of 0.05 Sv, 1). Mean melt rate: 17.74 m/a.

1994 CTD data and effect of sparse data



Preliminary results: With very few observations (crosses mark 3 hydrographic casts) we estimate different mean melt rates (15.44 m/a in 1994, 19.10 m/a in 2009), although the strength of the circulation does not change much.

Results and Conclusions

- initial model simulation is too warm compared to 2009 data
- · fit to observations reduces melt rates below previous
- estimates of, e.g., Schodlok et al. (2012), Rignot et al. (2008) • melt rates are lower after fit to 1994 data than to 2009 data

Effect of observations: Colder and fresher deep water reduces



Hydrographic profiles at all available 2009-CTD locations show lower model temperatures and salinity after fit to observations. Melt rates reduce from 19.80 m/a (108.05 Gt/a) to 17.74 m/a (96.78 Gt/a) (RTOPO) from 26.52 m/a (121.30 Gt/a) to 24.28 m/a (111.02 Gt/a) (IceBridge) from 18.07 m/a (98.58 Gt/a) to 15.19 m/a (82.89 Gt/a) (different melt rate parameterisation)



Difference maps of melt rates (m/a) after fit to observations. The melt rate control variable contribution (right panel, in mm/a, net melt rate is only 26.5 kg/a) is orders of magnitude smaller than the temperature effect due to colder open boundary values.

References

- Heimbach, P and Losch, M (2012) Adjoint sensitivities of sub-ice shelf melt rates to ocean circulation under Pine Island Ice Shelf, West Antarctica, Annals Glaciol., 53(60):59-69.
- Jenkins, A et al. (2010) Observations beneath Pine Island Glacier in West Antarcrica and implications for its retreat. Nat. Geosc. 3:468-472

- Cosch, M (2008) Modeling ice shelf cavities in a z-coordinate ocean general circulation model, J. Geophys. Res., 113, doi:10.1029/2007J2.004368. Rignot, E et al. (2008) Recent Antarctic ice mass loss from radar interferometry and regional climate modelling. Nat. Geosc. 1:106-110. RTOPO: Timmermann, R et al. (2010) A Consistent Data Set of Antarctic Ice Sheet Topography, Cavity Geometry, and Global Bathymetry. Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2(2):261-273, doi:10.5194/essd-2-261-2010 Schodlok, M, et al. (2012) Sensitivity of the ice-shelf/ocean system to the sub-ice-shelf cavity shape measured by NASA IceBridge in Pine Island Glacier, West Antarctica, 53(60):156-162.