

In Situ Mass Spectrometry in Marine Science: Distribution and Fate of Methane Released from Submarine Sources

Torben Gentz & Michael Schlüter

Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research

Bremerhaven, Germany

Torben.Gentz@awi.de

Presented at the 9thWorkshop on Harsh Environment Mass Spectrometry, St Petersburg, FL September 17, 2013

MARINE SCIENCE IS HARSH ENVIRONMENT !

HE 337 NorthSea

Heincke 362

Submarine gas seeps

WORLDWIDE DISTRIBUTION OF SUBMARINE METHANE RELEASE

Free gas (Fleischer et al. 2001) Pockmarks (Hovland et al. 2002)

Mud volcanoes (Milkov 2000) Gas hydrates (Kvenvolden et al. 2001)

3

according to [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change](http://www.ipcc.ch/) (IPCC,2007)

The average atmospheric concentration of methane has increased by 151 % since year 1750 (Houghton 2001).

according to IPCC (2007)

The average atmospheric concentration of methane has increased by 151 % since year 1750 (Houghton 2001).

 $CH₄$ acts beside CO₂ and water vapour as a greenhouse gas (Houghton 2001).

according to IPCC (2007)

The average atmospheric concentration of methane has increased by 151 % since year 1750 (Houghton 2001).

 $CH₄$ acts beside CO₂ and water vapour as a greenhouse gas (Houghton 2001).

On a 100 year timescale the global warming potential (GWP) of CH₄ is $20 - 40$ times higher than of $CO₂$ (Shindell 2009).

according to IPCC (2007)

The average atmospheric concentration of methane has increased by 151 % since year 1750 (Houghton 2001).

 $CH₄$ acts beside CO₂ and water vapour as a greenhouse gas (Houghton 2001).

On a 100 year timescale the global warming potential (GWP) of CH₄ is $20 - 40$ times higher than of $CO₂$ (Shindell 2009).

 $CH₄$ represents the second largest contribution (about 15 %) to historical warming after $CO₂$ (Shindell et.al. 2009).

according to IPCC (2007)

GLOBAL RELEVANCE OF SUBMARINE SOURCES

Present estimations: $8 - 65$ Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹ are released into the ocean and $0.4 - 48$ Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹ reach the atmosphere Which is up to 9 % of the total methane emission (Hovland et al.

1993; Judd and Hovland 2007; Judd 2004; Judd et al. 2002; Kvenvolden and Rogers 2005).

Future Scenarios induced by global warming:

Thawing of permafrost (e.g. Shakhova et al. 2010) Destabilization of gas hydrates (e.g. Jung and Vogt 2004; Mienert et al. 2005; Ruppel 2011)

> *Free gas (Fleischer et al. 2001) Pockmarks (Hovland et al. 2002)*

Mud volcanoes (Milkov 2000) Gas hydrates (Kvenvolden et al. 2001)

Schematic view of the formation (modified after Froelich et al. 1979) *and the subsequent pathways of methane in the sediment (modified after Judd 2004). Crystallographic image of gas hydrates after Bohrmann and Torres (2006*

WHAT ARE SUBMARINE GAS SEEPS? ⁶

Schematic view of the formation (modified after Froelich et al. 1979) *and the subsequent pathways of methane in the sediment (modified after Judd 2004). Crystallographic image of gas hydrates after Bohrmann and Torres (2006)*

Formation of methane by Atmosphere **degradation of organic matter** rise **Bubble** Organic $O₂$ $SO₄²$ matter Hydrosphere Seepage **Aerobic respiration Utilization Nitrate reduction Manganese oxide reduction Iron oxide reduction SMTZ** Shallow **Microbial** formation of Gas Gas hydrates methane Migration Petroleum **Thermocatalytic** formation storage of methane Lithosphere Schematic concentration profils

> *Schematic view of the formation (modified after Froelich et al. 1979*) *and the subsequent pathways of methane in the sediment (modified after Judd 2004). Crystallographic image of gas hydrates after Bohrmann and Torres (2006)*

Schematic view of the formation (modified after Froelich et al. 1979) *and the subsequent pathways of methane in the sediment (modified after Judd 2004). Crystallographic image of gas hydrates after Bohrmann and Torres (2006)*

Utilization of methane in the sediment

Only if the rate of methane production in relation of migration exceeds the rate of microbial utilization, seepage into the water column occurs.

Schematic view of the formation (modified after Froelich et al. 1979) *and the subsequent pathways of methane in the sediment (modified after Judd 2004).*

Utilization of methane in the sediment

Schematic view of the formation (modified after Froelich et al. 1979) *and the subsequent pathways of methane in the sediment (modified after Judd 2004).*

Pathways of methane in the water column

Air/Sea exchange

Vertical or horizontal transport of dissolved methane

Dilution

Microbial oxidation

Dissolution of methane from gas bubbles (Epstein and Plesset 1950; Leifer and Patro 2002; McGinnis et al. 2006)

Schematic concentration profils

Schematic view of the formation (modified after Froelich et al. 1979) *and the subsequent pathways of methane in the sediment (modified after Judd 2004).*

HOW TO INVESTIGATE THE WATER COLUMN ABOVE GAS SEEPAGE?

Hydroacoustic "image" of gas bubble plumes in the water column by Simrad EK60.

12

Gas release in the North Sea via video observation

REQUIREMENTS FOR IN SITU SENSORS:

- Robustness for the use in harsh environment
- The energy consumption needs to be low to allow long term measurements

14

- Sampling rates should be high and respond times correspondingly short for high temporal and spatial resolution
- Maintenance of the analyzer should be easy and short in time
- A low detection limit for trace gases.

INSPECTR200-200 FOR IN SITU, ONLINE, REAL TIME AND SIMULTANEOUS MEASUREMENTS:

IN SITU MASS SPECTROMETER MODE OF OPERATION

70 times magnification 320 times magnification

Watervapor

is the main gas that permeates through this membrane?

- •Downgrades the detection limit
- •Affects on the ionization effency
- •Could cause condensation in the analytical line
- •Downgrades the life time of the filament
- Indicate a high pressure in the analytical line

IMPLEMENTATION OF A CRYOTRAP

17

(Gentz and Schlüter 2012)

Specifications:

Length: 290mm Outer diameter: 190mm Inner diameter: 180mm Max depth: 200m Weight: 5.1 kg Cooling area: 20mm Material: Aluminum

IMPLEMENTATION OF A CRYOTRAP

Cooling of the capillary between sample inlet and sensor unit up to -90 °C

- Water vapour is reduced up to 98 % of initial
- Reduce the internal pressure significantly
- A higher ionization effency is observed
- \rightarrow Results in an obtimized detection limit
- Expand the lifetime of the analyser
- Secure the analyser for inflowing water

18

Calibration of the optimized Inspectr200-200

New detection limit of the optimized Inspectr200-200:

 \sim **16 nmol L⁻¹**

Calibration of the optimized Inspectr200-200

New detection limit of the optimized Inspectr200-200:

 \sim **16 nmol L⁻¹**

Low enough???

IN SITU MASS SPECTROMETER FOR FIELD APPLICATIONS

Gas seep in the North Sea

(Gentz and Schlüter 2012)

IN SITU MASS SPECTROMETER FOR FIELD APPLICATIONS

(Gentz and Schlüter 2012)

Introduction Novel Instruments Study Areas Results and Interpretation Conclusions

20

IN SITU MASS SPECTROMETER FOR FIELD APPLICATIONS

Inspectr200-200 vs. GC

Deployments of the in situ mass spectrometer

APPLICATION OF THE IN SITU MASS SPECTROMETER IN HARSH ENVIRONMENTS

OBSERVATION OF A GAS SEEP AREA IN THE NORTH SEA

 \mbox{Google}

Modified after Schroot et al. 2005

OBSERVATION OF A GAS SEEP AREA IN THE NORTH SEA

Under water gas analyser, sampler and observing system

- Inspectr200-200; 11900 samples
- GC; discrete 154 samples
- Video observation; 12 h
- Hydroacoustic; 12 h
- Multibeam; 140000 m^2
- CTD 14; vertical profiles
- Bubble sampler; 5 samples
- Multiple sediment corer; 5 cores

OBSERVATION OF A GAS SEEP AREA IN THE NORTH SEA

⁽Gentz et al. unpublished data)

OBSERVATION OF A GAS SEEP AREA IN THE NORTH SEA

(Gentz et al. unpublished data)

VIDEO OBSERVATION OF THE SEAFLOOR

⁽Gentz et al. unpublished data)

Affected area: ~3800 m² Number of streams: 113 Bubble diameter: 4.5 to 16 mm (average 7 mm) Release frequency: $0.3 - 40$ bubbles s⁻¹ (average 23 bubbles s⁻¹) Methane flux: 28.27 L min-1 Methane release: 35.3 ± 17.65 t CH₄ yr⁻¹

DISSOLVED METHANE SAMPLING IN THE WATER COLUMN

11900 samples in various depth in between 24 hours

(Gentz et al. unpublished data)

DISSOLVED METHANE SAMPLING IN THE WATER COLUMN

- Discrete sampling: max 1.5 μ mol L⁻¹
- In situ sampling: max 3.5 μ mol L⁻¹
- A methane saturation of 23200 % was observed in 8 m water depth.
- The air sea exchange flux is calculated to \sim 210 \pm 63 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹.

³⁰ **INTERPOLATION OF THE DISSOLVED METHANE ABOVE THE GAS SEEP**

Entire interpolated inventory of methane (6.410.000 m³):

 \sim 0.6 mol CH₄

- ~1.000.000 m^3 (15.6 %) contain concentrations higher than 200 nmol L^{-1}
- 40 % of initial methane is dissolved above the pycnocline.

Sibson, R., "A Brief Description of Natural Neighbor Interpolation", Kapitel 2 in *Interpolating multivariate data*, S. 21-36. John Wiley & Söhne: New York, 1981.

 \rightarrow In total 65 % (23 \pm 11.5 t CH₄ y⁻¹) of the released methane potentially reach the atmosphere, which is high compared to the Spitsbergen continental margin or the Tommeliten area.

CONCLUSIONS

This is the first study of methane above a gas seep in high resolution.

• The inventory calculation is more accurate than before and shows that conventional methods tend toward underestimation.

- The inventory calculation is more accurate than before and shows that conventional methods tend toward underestimation.
- The investigated study area in the North Sea contributes to the global atmospheric methane budget.

- The inventory calculation is more accurate than before and shows that conventional methods tend toward underestimation.
- The investigated study area in the North Sea contributes to the global atmospheric methane budget.

- The inventory calculation is more accurate than before and shows that conventional methods tend toward underestimation.
- The investigated study area in the North Sea contributes to the global atmospheric methane budget.
- The fate of methane as well as the contribution to the global atmospheric methane budget of each source depends on bubble size, the water depth, the water current and the water stratification.

This is the first study of methane above a gas seep in high resolution.

- The inventory calculation is more accurate than before and shows that conventional methods tend toward underestimation.
- The investigated study area in the North Sea contributes to the global atmospheric methane budget.
- The fate of methane as well as the contribution to the global atmospheric methane budget of each source depends on bubble size, the water depth, the water current and the water stratification.

• **The use of the improved in situ mass spectrometry is one step forward to understand the pathways and potential global relevance of these methane sources.**

CURRENT AND FUTURE WORK

Implementation of the mass spec into an AUV

…

Thank you all for developing new instruments as well as your attention!

Torben.Gentz@awi.de

Backup

FUTURE WORK

Implementation in new device holder

Benthic chamber measurements

Combining ydroacoustic with in situ mass spectrometry

GAS BUBBLE DISSOLUTION MODEL (SIBU GUI):

*2 mm to 12 mm (A) compared with the hydroacoustic image of the highest detected gas flare (B). Decrease of the initial CH4 concentration in the bubbles during their rise in the water column (C). Data obtained by the model SiBu GUI (*Greinert, J. and D. F. McGinnis 2009) p*ersonally optimized by Dan McGinnis*

Personal communication Agnieszka Beszsynsky-Möller 28.26 km s-w direction

Formation of methane:

Schematic concentration profils

Degradation of organic matter by redox processes

Aerobic respiration $(H_2O)x(NH_3)y(H_3PO_4)z + xO_2 \rightarrow xCO_2 + xH_2O + yNH_3 + H_3PO_4$ Nitrate reduction $5CH_2O + 4NO_3^- \rightarrow 4HCO_3 + CO_2 + 2N_2 + 3H_2O$ **Manganese oxide reduction** $CH_2O + 2MnO_2 + 3CO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow 2Mn^{2+} + 4HCO_3$ **Iron oxide reduction** $CH_2O + 4Fe(OH)_3 + 7CO_2 \rightarrow 8HCO_3 + 3H_2O + 4Fe^{2+}$ Microbial formation of methane: **Hydrogenotrophic** $CO_2 + 4 H_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + 2 H_2O$
Acetotrophic $CH_3COO^- + H_2O \rightarrow CH_4 +$ **Acetotrophic** $CH_3COO^- + H_2O \rightarrow CH_4 + HCO_3$ **

Methylotrophic** $CH_2-A + H_2O \rightarrow CH_4 + CO_2 + A-H_3$ CH_3 -A + H₂O \rightarrow CH₄ + CO₂ + A-H **Thermocatalytic** formation of methane sulfate/methane transition zone (SMTZ)

Schematic view of the formation (modified after Froelich et al. 1979)

A) Inverse CH₄ *concentration versus* $d^{13}C_{CH4}$ *values (Keeling plot). Layer III is presented by black dots and Layer II and I by red dots. (B) Distribution of* $d^{13}C_{CH4}$ 2 m above the seafloor including the *transect lines. The red circle indicates the crossing zone of the two transects*

Calculation:

Bubble diameter: 7 mm by ImageJ

$$
r_e = (a^2b)^{1/2}
$$
 (1)

$$
V = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_e^{3}
$$
 (2)

Leifer and Patro 2002

Release frequency: 23 bubbles s-1

Methane flux: 28.27 L min-1

 $PVA = nRTZ$ (3)

Modified after Römer et al. 2012

Seafloor methane release: 35.3 ± 17.65 t CH₄ yr⁻¹

Under water cryotrap

Gentz and Schlüter 2012

Gas analysis: New in situ sensors for high resolution mapping

TRL 2 Technology concept and/or application formulated TRL 3 Analytical and laboratory studies to validate analytical predictions TRL 5 Component and/or basic sub-system technology valid in relevant environment

TRL 6 System/sub-system technology model or prototype demonstrated in relevant environment

TRL 7 System technology prototype demonstrated in an operational environment

TRL 8 System technology qualified through test and demonstration TRL 4 Component and/or basic sub-system technology valid in laboratory environment TRL 9 System technology qualified through successful mission operations

Compilation of in situ methane sensors and technologies, modified after Boulart (2010) including the explanation of the TRL levels, modified from a UK Defence Procurement Agency version.

Introduction Novel Instruments Study Areas Results and Interpretation Conclusions Future Perspective

Working areas

Working areas

