6<sup>th</sup> COSS-TT International Coordination Meeting, Madrid, September 19-21, 2018

# Temperature Assimilation into an Operational Coastal Ocean-Biogeochemical Model of the North and Baltic Seas: Weakly and Strongly Coupled Data Assimilation

Michael Goodliff, Lars Nerger Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research Bremerhaven, Germany

Ina Lorkowski, Fabian Schwichtenberg, Thorger Brüning Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency (BSH) Hamburg, Germany



BUNDESAMT FÜR SEESCHIFFFAHRT UND HYDROGRAPHIE Funded by:



Bundesministerium für Verkehr und digitale Infrastruktur



#### **Overview**

Assess influence of SST assimilation on biogeochemical model

- In North and Baltic Seas
- Examine weakly and strongly coupled assimilation
  - weakly: assimilation only changes physics; bgc reacts dynamically
  - strongly: assimilation directly changes physics and bgc variables using cross-covariances
- Does the ensemble estimate sufficiently realistic covariances between physical and biogeochemical model fields?





# **Operational BSH Model – HBM (Hiromb BOOS Model)**

**Grid nesting:** 

- 10 km grid

5 km,36 layers

- 900 m, 25 layers

10 km grid used offline as boundary condition





#### **Hiromb-BOOS Model**

Operational Model at BSH, DMI and FMI Regular model mesh Coarse: horizontal 414 x 347 points, 36 layers Fine: horizontal 630 x 387 points, 25 layers 2-way nesting Also used for CMEMS MFC-Baltic (with 4 nested grids; same assimilation framework in testing phase; now switching to NEMO-Nordic)





#### **Biogeochemistry: ERGOM model**



PDAF Assimilation Framework

PDAF - Parallel Data Assimilation Framework

- provide support for ensemble forecasts
- provide fully-implemented parallelized filter algorithms
- easily useable with (probably) any numerical model (coupled also to MITgcm, NEMO, FESOM, TerrSysMP, ...)
- separate development of model and assimilation methods
- makes good use of supercomputers; also runs on laptops
- ~300 registered users

Open source: Code and documentation available at

http://pdaf.awi.de







#### Extending a Model for Data Assimilation

Parallel Data Assimilation Framework

PDA



Michael Goodliff et al. – HBM-ERGOM SST Assimilation

UND HYDROGRAPHIE

#### **Observations**



- sea surface temperature
  - 2012: from NOAA satellites
  - 2017: from Sentinel-3a
- Interpolated to both model grids
- 12-hour composites
- Observation error: 0.8 °C





#### **Localization in nested grids**



Interaction between two different grids at the boundary.



Resolution: Coarse Grid = 3 nm Fine Grid = 0.5 nmm

Observation location defines influence radius





### **Assimilation experiments**

- Assimilate only SST
- Ensemble size: 40
- 2012: March December (+ 2017 September December)
- Analysis update every 12 hours
- Filter: LESTKF
- Generate ensemble from model variability over 1 month
- Assimilation experiments
  - weakly coupled: correct only physics; let biogeochemical field react dynamically
  - strongly coupled: correct physics and biogeochemistry
- For strongly coupled DA
  - treat biogeochemistry in log-concentrations (common practice with chlorophyll)





# Comparison with assimilated SST data (4-12/2012)

RMS deviation from SST observations up to ~0.4 °C

#### Coarse grid:

Increasing error-reductions compared to free ensemble run

#### Fine grid:

- much stronger variability
- Forecast errors sometimes reach free ensemble run errors



#### RMS errors (deg. C)

	Free	Forec.	Ana.
Coarse	0.95	0.68	0.63
Fine	0.83	0.70	0.63

HYDROGRAPHIE

UND

#### SST validation with in situ data



HYDROGRAPHIE

2017 (Sentinel-3a)





#### Weakly-coupled effect on biogeochemistry



Michael Goodliff et al. – HBM-ERGOM SST Assimilation

HYDROGRAPHIE

# BGC validation with in situ data – weakly coupled



- Very small influence of weakly coupled DA
- In situ data not co-located with large changes





## Strongly coupled SST assimilation

#### Diatoms – April 30, 2012 at surface (ensemble size 20)

weakly coupled

UND



#### strongly coupled

Unrealistically high concentration in Baltic (~8000 mmol N/m<sup>3</sup>)

Problem starts earier at depth

#### Diatoms – April 16, 2012 at $\sim$ 45 m depth (level 17) weakly coupled strongly coupled



How to treat this problem?

Reduce vertical assimilation influence (only for BGC)



#### **Vertical localization**

#### Diatoms – April 30, 2012 at surface

# **weakly coupled** 65°N 60°N 55°N 0° 5°E 10°E 15°E 20°E 25°E 30°E 0.0

# strongly – full vertical $65^{\circ}N$ $60^{\circ}N$ $60^{\circ}N$ $60^{\circ}N$ $60^{\circ}N$ $60^{\circ}N$ $60^{\circ}N$ $60^{\circ}N$ $60^{\circ}N$ 1.5 $1.5^{\circ}N$ $1.5^{\circ}N$ $1.5^{\circ}N$ 0.0

# Linear reduction of assimilation influence with depth

#### strongly, vertloc 25m



#### strongly, vertloc 10m



#### strongly, vertloc 5m



#### Strongly coupled - validation with in situ data

DA Free Ensemble



## Summary

- Assimilation of SST data into coupled physical-geochemical model
- Assimilation effects:
  - SST: up to 0.3°C lower errors (as expected)
  - Salinity: mixed effect (not shown)
  - Weakly-coupled:
    - locally significant changes
    - Comparison with in situ data: very small changes
  - Strongly-coupled:
    - Unrealistic concentrations without vertical localization
    - Vertical localization helps in North Sea, partly in Baltic
    - Comparison with in situ data: very small changes
    - Cross-covariances not realistic (insufficient model skill)



Thank you! Lars.Nerger@awi.de

