SUBSTRATE SUPPLY FOR CALCITE PRECIPITATION IN *EMILIANIA HUXLEYI*: ASSESSMENT OF DIFFERENT MODEL APPROACHES¹

Lena-Maria Holtz,² Silke Thoms

Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Biogeosciences, Am Handelshafen 12, Bremerhaven 27570, Germany

Gerald Langer

Department of Earth Sciences, Cambridge University, Downing St., Cambridge CB2 3EQ, UK

and Dieter A. Wolf-Gladrow

Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Biogeosciences, Am Handelshafen 12, Bremerhaven 27570, Germany

Over the last four decades, different hypotheses of Ca²⁺ and dissolved inorganic carbon transport to the intracellular site of calcite precipitation have been put forth for Emiliania huxleyi (Lohmann) Hay & Mohler. The objective of this study was to assess these hypotheses by means of mathematical models. It is shown that a vesicle-based Ca²⁺ transport would require very high intravesicular Ca^{2+} concentrations, high vesicle fusion frequencies as well as a fast membrane recycling inside the cell. Furthermore, a kinetic model for the calcification compartment is presented that describes the internal chemical environment in terms of carbonate chemistry including calcite precipitation. Substrates for calcite precipitation are transported with different stoichiometries across the compartment membrane. As a result, the carbonate chemistry inside the compartment changes and hence influences the calcification rate. Moreover, the effect of carbonic anhydrase (CA) activity within the compartment is analyzed. One very promising model version is based on a Ca^{2+}/H^+ antiport, CO_2 diffusion, and a CA inside the calcification compartment. Another promising model version is based on an import of Ca^{2+} and HCO_3^- and an export of H⁺.

Key index words: biomineralization; Ca²⁺ transport; Calcification; coccolith formation; coccolith vesicle

Abbreviations: A, surface area; CA, carbonic anhydrase; CAP, coccolith-associated polysaccharide; C*i*, inorganic carbon; CS, cytosol; CV, calcifying/coccolith vesicle; DIC, dissolved inorganic carbon; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; pCV, proto-CV; pER, peripheral ER; PIC, particulate inorganic carbon; RB, reticular body; SR, sarcoplasmic reticulum; S, salinity; TA, total alkalinity; V, volume; θ , temperature

Coccolithophores are unicellular haptophyte algae, which surround themselves with elaborately

crafted calcite platelets, the coccoliths. Today, coccolithophores are, besides foraminifera, the most productive group of open ocean calcifiers in terms of calcite export (Baumann et al. 2004). Calcifiers contribute to the biological carbon pump not only by producing particulate organic carbon, which subsequently sinks to deeper layers while being remineralized but also drive the so-called "carbonate pump" or "counter pump" via precipitation and dissolution of calcium carbonate (CaCO₃; Volk and Hoffert 1985): Precipitation of calcium carbonate decreases total alkalinity (TA) and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) within the surface layer in a 2:1 molar ratio, resulting in an increase of CO₂ (Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow 2001). Below the lysocline, TA and DIC increase due to the dissolution of CaCO₃.

Although coccoliths are formed intracellularly inside so-called coccolith vesicles (CV; Wilbur and Watabe 1963, Probert et al. 2007), calcite precipitation is strongly affected by changing ocean carbonchemistry. Understanding the calcification process is critical to understand why and how calcification is affected by future changes in ocean pCO₂. A strong correlation between increasing seawater carbonate concentration and coccolith mass has been demonstrated for dominant coccolithophores of the present ocean and the last 40,000 years (Beaufort et al. 2011). In many culture experiments, the dependence of coccolithophore calcite production on seawater carbonate chemistry was examined. The results of these studies are partly discrepant (Hoppe et al. 2011 and references therein). Furthermore, Lohbeck et al. (2012) demonstrated the ability of Emiliania huxleyi cells to increase their calcite precipitation rate under high pCO₂ via genetic adaptation. Hence, further investigations are needed to obtain a detailed understanding of the processes underlying calcite precipitation in coccolithophores.

The calcification process of two species, namely *Pleurochrysis carterae* and *E. huxleyi*, has been analyzed by means of cytobiological microscopy and physiological experiments. As for the cytological character-

¹Received 19 December 2011. Accepted 19 November 2012.

²Author for correspondence: e-mail: Lena-Maria.Holtz@awi.de.

istics, there are three types of acidic polysaccharides in P. carterae named PS1, PS2, and PS3. The PS2 type is present in coccolithosomes and is capable of sequestering large amounts of Ca²⁺ (Marsh and Dickinson 1997). In contrast, E. huxleyi is lacking PS2 (Corstjens et al. 1998), but possesses one type of polysaccharide, the coccolith-associated polysaccharide (CAP, de Jong et al. 1976, Fichtinger-Schepman et al. 1981), which is probably not involved in Ca²⁺ transport but can influence crystal morphology by site-specific attachment to crystallographic steps (Henriksen et al. 2004). It is conspicuous that E. huxleyi features a so-called reticular body (RB), a labyrinthine membrane system, which is, at least during coccolith formation, connected to the CV (Klaveness 1972). In contrast, P. carterae lacks a RB and features transport vesicles containing so-called coccolithosomes. The latter are densely packed complexes of Ca²⁺ and acidic polysaccharides (Marsh 1994). It has been suggested that the RB in E. huxlevi, as opposed to the coccolithosomes in P. carterae, is involved in Ca²⁺ transport (Marsh and Dickinson 1997). The RB has a high surface to volume ratio and is therewith perfectly suited to import large amounts of Ca²⁺ from the cytosol across the membrane. Such a transmembrane transport of Ca²⁺ across the CV membrane (considering the RB as part of the CV) was first proposed by Klaveness (1976). This Ca^{2+} pathway, however, has the disadvantage that Ca^{2+} has to cross the cytosol, which is a low-Ca²⁺-compartment (Brownlee et al. 1995). Alternatively, it was suggested that Ca²⁺ is transported in vesicles to the CV, the latter originating from the Golgi apparatus (Westbroek et al. 1984). Recently, this hypothesis was refined insofar that directly after entering the cytosol Ca^{2+} was assumed to be taken up by the peripheral endoplasmic reticulum (pER) (Berry et al. 2002, Brownlee and Taylor 2004), from which it travels via vesicles to the Golgi apparatus. Another possibility of Ca^{2+} entry into the cell is fluid phase endocytosis, which has been proposed for foraminifera (Erez 2003). Attempts to visualize fluid phase endocytosis in coccolithophores, however, have so far been unsuccessful (Berry et al. 2002).

It is at present not possible to test these different hypotheses of Ca²⁺ transport on the basis of observational data, and it might well take another decade or more before it will be. In the meantime, however, it is worthwhile to employ a theoretical means of judging different scenarios of cellular Ca²⁺ transport. In this study, we did so by performing some calculations concerning the pathway of Ca²⁺ through the cytosol, i.e., inside vesicles or directly through the cytosol. For the latter pathway we further implemented a kinetic model to investigate the influence of different membrane transporter stoichiometries on the carbonate chemistry inside the CV, and hence on the calcite precipitation rate. E. huxleyi was chosen as the model species because it is one of the most productive coccolithophores in terms of calcite export (Baumann et al. 2004) and moreover one of the best-studied coccolithophores.

METHODS

Quantitative assessment of two major transport hypotheses for Ca^{2+} . The two major hypotheses concerning the pathway of Ca^{2+} through the cytosol for *E. huxleyi* are a vesicle-based transport and a transport directly through the cytosol. Currently, a transport inside vesicles, where Ca^{2+} is shielded from the cytosol, is favored (Brownlee and Taylor 2004). For both transport hypotheses we will calculate in the following, how the provision with sufficient Ca^{2+} can be maintained.

Vesicle-based Ca^{2+} *transport:* First, the number of Ca^{2+} transporting vesicles that is essential to match observed calcification rates will be determined for *E. huxleyi*. The results will then be compared to the corresponding values calculated for *P. carterae*, an alga that has been observed to transport Ca^{2+} toward the growing coccoliths by means of vesicles filled with coccolithosomes.

A full-size coccolith of *E. huxleyi* contains ~22 fmol Ca²⁺ (Young and Ziveri 2000) and is built in about 1 h (Paasche 1962, Table 1). Based on these two constraints, hypothetical vesicle fusion frequencies can be calculated dependent on vesicle radius (cf. Fig. 1a). We consider three different [Ca²⁺] for our calculations.

The first $[Ca^{2+}]$ corresponds to the concentration found for another component of the endomembrane system (0.5 mmol \cdot L⁻¹, Gussone et al. 2006) namely the endoplasmic reticulum (ER; solid line in Fig. 1, a and b). The maximum $[Ca^{2+}]$ gradient across a membrane that can be accomplished by ATP hydrolysis is 10⁵ (Langer et al. 2006). Thus, the second calculation is based on a $[Ca^{2+}]$ of 10 mmol \cdot L⁻¹ (= 10 × cytosolic $[Ca^{2+}]$; dashed line). Assuming Ca²⁺-binding molecules could further increase the $[Ca^{2+}]$ by a factor of 100 (optimistic guess deduced from values given in Sze et al. 2000) leads to the third $[Ca^{2+}]$ of 1 mol \cdot L⁻¹ (dotted line). The coccolithosomes of *P. carterae* have actually been found to exhibit Ca^{2+} concentrations of 6 mol \cdot L⁻¹ (van der Wal et al. 1983b). The polyanion PS2, however, which is most probably responsible for this extraordinarily strong Ca²⁺ accumulation, does not exist in *E. huxleyi* (Marsh and Dickinson 1997). The hypothetical Ca²⁺ transporting vesicles bring along biomembrane to the CV. The

TABLE 1. Constraints for vesicle-mediated Ca^{2+} transport in *Emiliania huxleyi*. CV = coccolith vesicle; ER = endoplasmic reticulum.

Constraint	Value
Coccolith formation time	~1 h (Paasche 1962)
Ca ²⁺ content of one full-size coccolith with diameter of $3.5 \ \mu m$	22 fmol (Young and Ziveri 2000)
Surface of one full-size CV with diameter = $3.5 \ \mu m$	33 μm², for calculation see Appendix S1
Free [Ca ²⁺] in ER	$0.5 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ (Gussone et al. 2006)
Maximal free [Ca ²⁺]*	10 mmol \cdot L ⁻¹ , calculated by Langer et al. (2006)
High total [Ca ²⁺]**	1 mol \cdot L ⁻¹ , calculated, see text
Observed vesicle radius in calcifying cells of <i>Emiliania</i> huxleyi	25 nm (Westbroek et al. 1984) calculated, see text

*, Established by ATP hydrolysis.

**, Theoretical value.



FIG. 1. Hypothetical vesicle fusion frequencies and the corresponding membrane surplus in dependence on different vesicle radii at three different $[Ca^{2+}]$. (a) The vesicle fusion frequency that is essential to cover the demand of Ca^{2+} for coccolith synthesis is shown in dependence on the vesicle radius. (b) Amount of full-size CVs that could be formed by the membrane amount resulting from the vesicle fusion frequencies calculated for (a). Vesicles with a radius of 25 nm (typical radius of Golgi vesicles) have been observed in *Emiliania huxleyi* (cf. Fig. 4h in Westbroek et al. 1984; vertical line). The solid line indicates that a $[Ca^{2+}]$ of 0.5 mmol $\cdot L^{-1}$ inside the vesicles is presumed, the results presented by the dashed line are based on a $[Ca^{2+}]$ of 10 mmol $\cdot L^{-1}$. The dotted line presents the results based on a $[Ca^{2+}]$ of 1 mol $\cdot L^{-1}$.

calculated vesicle flux (Fig. 1a) actually implies an excess membrane amount, which would have to be recycled during the time of coccolith formation. The corresponding excess membrane amount relative to the membrane amount required to cover one full-size coccolith is shown in Figure 1b.

The results presented in Figure 1, a and b summarize as follows: When considering the vesicle size that was observed in *E. huxleyi* (25 nm radius) and further presuming the high $[Ca^{2+}]$ of 1 mol $\cdot L^{-1}$, 93 vesicles would have to fuse per second with the CV to cover the demand of Ca²⁺ for coccolith synthesis (marked intersection in Fig. 1a). Thereby, 80 times more membrane would be transported towards the CV than

TABLE 2. Vesicle transport-related values for *Pleurochrysis carterae*. CV stands for coccolith vesicle.

Description	Value
Ca ²⁺ content of one coccolith	3.3 fmol (van der Wal et al. 1983b)
Coccolith formation time	24 min (van der Wal et al. 1983b)
[Ca ²⁺] of coccolithosomes	6 mol \cdot L ⁻¹ (van der Wal et al. 1983b)
Vesicle radius	200 nm (Fig. 19 in Outka and Williams 1971)
Vesicle surface	$0.5 \ \mu m^2$, calculated
[Ca ²⁺] in vesicles	$0.6 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$, calculated
Ca ²⁺ content of one vesicle	21 amol, calculated
CV surface	5 μ m ² , calculated

is needed (marked intersection in Fig. 1b). Such high vesicle fusion frequencies and membrane recycling rates appear to be unrealistic, when studying the EM images of van der Wal et al. (1983a) and Westbroek et al. (1984). However, this argument cannot be used to strictly preclude a vesicle-based transport. Therefore, we will additionally calculate the equivalent values for P. carterae. In contrast with E. huxleyi, P. carterae forms about three coccoliths at once (see fig. 14 in Outka and Williams 1971). Hence, an overall fusion frequency of 0.3 vesicles $\cdot s^{-1}$ can be deduced from the values given in Table 2 for P. carterae when assuming a vesicle radius of 200 nm. Overall, 1.6 times as much membrane is transported toward the CV than is required for CV growth in P. carterae. This corresponds to recycling $0.4 \ \mu m^2$ membrane per minute. By contrast, the hypothetical membrane surplus of E. huxleyi is 80, corresponding to $43 \ \mu m^2 \cdot min^{-1}$. Since the excess membrane area is most likely recycled inside the cell, it should be noted that E. huxleyi exhibits a 10-fold smaller cell volume than P. carterae.

Consequently, a vesicle-based Ca^{2+} transport in *E. huxleyi* would require high fusion frequencies as well as a high membrane surplus, even when presuming a $[Ca^{2+}]$ of $1 \mod \cdot L^{-1}$ inside the vesicles. Please note that the overall calcification-related vesicle flux may actually be considerably higher than was calculated above when assuming a Ca^{2+} transport from the peripheral space into the endomembrane system (here: pER): As shown above, the vesicle flux can be minimized via a strong Ca^{2+} accumulation inside the vesicles. High $[Ca^{2+}]$ (>10 mmol · L^{-1}) can be accomplished via Ca^{2+} -binding molecules, which first have to reach the site of Ca^{2+} accumulation. A vesicle-based transport of these Ca^{2+} -binding molecules would further increase the calcification-related vesicle flux.

 Ca^{2+} provision directly through cytosol: Concerning the second transport hypothesis, it will be calculated, if enough Ca²⁺ can be made available via a transmembrane transport from the cytosol. The import of Ca²⁺ directly across the CV membrane is calculated by means of known kinetic values for Ca²⁺-ATPases (Table 3). Ca²⁺-ATPases are ATP-driven Ca²⁺/ H⁺ exchangers of the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) membrane found in mammalian muscle cells that pump Ca²⁺ from the cytosol to the SR lumen with a molar stoichiometry of 2 Ca²⁺ : 1 ATP : 2–3 H⁺ (Møller et al. 2010). Expressed sequence tag clusters similar to those of Ca²⁺-ATPases have been located in *E. huxleyi* by von Dassow et al. (2009). According to the values given in Table 3, up to 21 fmol Ca²⁺ can be provided for coccolith synthesis which comes close to the required 22 fmol Ca²⁺ (one complete coccolith).

A further possibility to import Ca^{2+} would be a temporally organized transport as proposed by Mackinder et al. (2010).

TABLE 3. Values for hypothetical Ca²⁺ transporters in CV membrane. CV stands for coccolith vesicle, RB for reticular body. Please note that the temporal mean of the CV membrane is listed here, while Table 1 lists the value for a full-size CV.

Description	Value
Transporter density Transport capacity	$6,355 \ \mu m^{-2}$ (Dulhunty et al. 1993) 20 molecules Ca ²⁺ . transporter ⁻¹ · s ⁻¹ (Bartolommei et al. 2006)

Temporal mean of CV membrane during coccolith formation $17 \ \mu m^2$, for calculation see Appendix S1

RB membrane	$4 -10 \ \mu m^2$, calculated from TEM images given in van der Wal et al. (1983a)
Accumulated Ca ²⁺ after 1 h	13 fmol (CV) + 3 – 8 fmol (RB)

They suggest the establishment of a proton gradient across the membrane of a very early stage CV via a V-type ATPase. This proton gradient could then be used for the import of Ca²⁺ by means of proton gradient-driven Ca²⁺/H⁺ exchangers. Both, V-type ATPases as well as the Ca^{2+}/H^+ exchangers (CAX) are up-regulated in calcifying cells of E. huxleyi (Mackinder et al. 2011). Deactivating the V-type ATPase would lower the proton concentration inside the CV, and calcite could be precipitated. At this stage, most of the Ca2+ should already be accumulated inside the CV because Ca²⁺ import is driven by the proton gradient from the CV to the cytosol, which cannot be sustained during calcite precipitation. We assume that this stage was the one presented in Figure 4d in Westbroek et al. (1984), where the CV contains the first detectable part of the coccolith, the so-called proto-coccolith (Young and Westbroek 1991). Thus, a $[Ca^{2+}]$ of 44 mol $\cdot L^{-1}$ would be necessary to accumulate 22 fmol Ca2+ (one complete coccolith). This concentration is unrealistically high because it exceeds the concentration of solid phase calcium (39 mol \cdot L⁻¹, Mortimer 2003). Furthermore, the described mechanism requires more ATP than importing Ca²⁺ by means of Ca2+-ATPases: proton-pumping ATPases can transport two protons by means of one ATP (Bennett and Spanswick 1984). When further assuming a stoichiometry of 1 $Ca^{2+}:3$ H⁺ as stated in Sze et al. (2000) for the Ca^{2+}/H^+ exchanger (CAX), the import of one Ca^{2+} would cost 1.5 ATP. Ca^{2+} -ATPases, in contrast, transfer one Ca^{2+} by means of 0.5 ATP (Møller et al. 2010).

All in all, the temporally organized import of Ca^{2+} that was proposed by Mackinder et al. (2010) is rather unlikely because the Ca^{2+} required for coccolith formation cannot be accumulated before the onset of calcite precipitation. A continuous transport, in contrast, can be accomplished according to our calculations. The major argument against this hypothesis concerns the diffusive Ca^{2+} supply through the low-[Ca^{2+}] environment of the cytosol. ATP-driven Ca^{2+} transporters generally exhibit high affinities towards Ca^{2+} (K = 0.1–2 μ M), albeit having low transport capacities (Sze et al. 2000). The latter value could probably be increased via the number of transporters. Hence, enough Ca^{2+} could be taken up from the low-[Ca^{2+}] cytosol, if the diffusion velocity of Ca^{2+} through the cytosol was high enough. This velocity value can currently not be calculated because the putative pathway of Ca^{2+} through the cytosol remains unclear. TEM images of van der Wal et al. (1985) hint at high [Ca^{2+}] at various intracellular sites in noncalcifying S-type cells of *E. huxleyi*. Assuming the Ca²⁺ distribution was the same in calcifying cells and the CV could come close to, for instance, the peripheral space or to the tips of the chloroplast, Ca²⁺ transporters could, at least partly, face high [Ca²⁺]. Finally, we would like to add that, if the hypothetical Ca²⁺-transporting vesicles discussed above were filled at the Golgi apparatus, the question concerning the Ca²⁺ pathway through the cytosol arises again.

After all, more research is required to finally decide about the two hypotheses. On the one hand, the hypothetical Ca^{2+} transporting vesicles should be searched after, whereas on the other hand, the intracellular Ca^{2+} distribution is essential to elucidate. Furthermore, molecules with very high Ca^{2+} binding capacities should be searched after. However, according to our calculations, a continuous Ca^{2+} supply through the cytosol is the more likely hypothesis.

Kinetic model setup. In this kinetic model approach, the substrates for calcite precipitation are imported from the cytosol into the CV with different stoichiometries. Thereby, internal carbonate chemistry and hence calcite precipitation rate are influenced differently. The carbonate system inside the cytosol is assumed to be in chemical equilibrium. Inside the CV, it is dynamic and modeled according to the reactions given by Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow (2001). Size and morphology of the CV are estimated from EM images as described in Appendix S1. Surface area and volume of the CV were calculated to be 17 µm² and 1.6 µm³, respectively. Calcite precipitation inside the CV is described according to the kinetics given by Zuddas and Mucci (1994). CO2 diffuses across the CV membrane in all model versions. The active transport of molecules across the CV membrane follows Michaelis-Menten kinetics except for proton-pumping ATPases, for which a constant transport rate is assumed. Detailed information concerning the model setup (Fig. 2) is given in Appendix S1.

We consider seven model versions (Fig. 3), which will be described in the following in more detail.

Model version I: $Ca^{2+}/2 H^+$ antiport: Dissolved inorganic carbon for calcite precipitation is derived exclusively from cytosolic CO₂ that diffuses into the CV. Import of Ca²⁺ into the CV is carried out via a 1 Ca²⁺/2 H⁺ exchanger. Model version I is divided into three subversions (Fig. 3), where Ia is based on a cytosolic DIC of 2 mmol $\cdot L^{-1}$ and Ib on a cytosolic DIC of 55 mmol $\cdot L^{-1}$. Version Ic rests upon a cytosolic DIC of 2 mmol $\cdot L^{-1}$ and a carbonic anhydrase (CA) inside the CV. Model version II: Ca²⁺/2 H⁺ antiport with active CO₂ import:

An active transport of CO_2 into the CV is examined in addi-



FIG. 2. Kinetic model setup. The coccolith veside (CV) is embedded inside the cytosol (CS). The substrates for calcite precipitation, Ca^{2+} and DIC, cross the membrane of the CV. Within the CV, calcite (PIC) is precipitated.



FIG. 3. Simplified drawing of model versions I to VII, where different substrates cross the CV membrane. Simple arrows indicate the diffusion of a substrate, whereas arrows with a filled circle indicate an active transport. The CV is depicted as a sphere for reasons of simplicity. For our calculations, a more complex shape of the CV was assumed as described in Appendix S1.

tion to the fluxes described by model version Ia. An active uptake of CO_2 into the cell was proposed for green algae (Sültemeyer et al. 1989), cyanobacteria (Espie et al. 1989), as well as for *E. huxleyi* (Schulz et al. 2007).

Model version III: Import of Ca^{2+} and HCO_3^- : Two model alternatives are introduced, in which Ca^{2+} and HCO_3^- are imported into the CV. In IIIa, a $Ca^{2+}/2$ Na⁺ and a $HCO_3^-/$ Cl⁻ exchanger are inserted into the CV membrane based on reports of such transporters in *E. huxleyi* (Mackinder et al. 2010). IIIb considers a $Ca^{2+}/2$ HCO_3^- symporter. Similar transporters are known from cyanobacteria (Koropatkin et al. 2007) and mammals (Girijashanker et al. 2008).

Model version IV: Ca^{2+}/CO_3^{2-} symport: Ca^{2+} and CO_3^{2-} are cotransported into the CV with a stoichiometry of 1:1. Ca^{2+} and CO_3^{2-} can assumingly not be cotransported without a substance that inhibits precipitation. Nevertheless, we here refer to this possibility.

Model version V: Ca^{2+} and HCO_3^- import and H^+ export: Two different transport mechanisms are introduced which potentially lead to the same stoichiometry of transported substrates: One Ca^{2+} and one HCO_3^- are imported, while one H^+ is exported from the CV. This stoichiometry considers the deprotonation of HCO_3^- to CO_3^{2-} for the formation of calcite, where the evolving H^+ is exported from the CV. Taylor et al. (2011) noticed that the evolving H^+ has to be exported from the whole cell to prevent internal acidification. Model version Va is based on a transport mechanism consisting of two decoupled transporters namely a $Ca^{2+}/2$ H^+ antiporter and a HCO_3^-/H^+ symporter. $Ca^{2+}/2$ H^+ antiporters are known from literature, while the existence of HCO_3^-/H^+ symporters has been suggested (Staal et al. 1989). Bicarbonate ions and protons could also be imported via a Na⁺/HCO_3^- symporter and a Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter. Both transporters exist. Another possibility is one complex $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ transporter (Vb) which is hypothetical.

Model version VI: Ca^{2+}/H^{+} exchange after import of H^{+} : Inspired by the idea of a temporally organized ion transport (Mackinder et al. 2010), we divided model version VI into two phases: First, the establishment of a proton gradient, which is then used for the import of Ca^{2+} during coccolith formation. Gene expression of V-type ATPase subunits in *E. huxleyi* was found by von Dassow et al. (2009), and Corstjens et al. (2001) located a V-type ATPase in the membrane of the CV of *P. carterae.* Proton concentration gradient-driven Ca^{2+}/H^{+} exchangers in *E. huxleyi* were found by Mackinder et al. (2011).

Model version VII: Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}^{-} import and H^{+} export after import of H^{+} : Bearing the previous model version in mind, we here use the generated proton gradient more efficiently by employing the complex transporter of model version Vb for the second phase.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the section, Quantitative assessment of two major transport hypotheses for Ca^{2+} , the two major hypotheses for Ca^{2+} transport through the cytosol were assessed. According to our calculations, a transport directly through the cytosol is more likely than a vesicle-based transport. Therefore, we based the kinetic model approach on a Ca^{2+} transport directly through the cytosol. The equations that describe carbonate chemistry and $[Ca^{2+}]$ in the kinetic model (see Appendix S1) were numerically integrated until steady state was obtained. These steady

d inor- osol. +	np are idicate
issolved he cyte	on pur style ir
n of di iside t	e prote italic
DIC ir	of the set in
concer for	activity mbers
e the e stands	n the a ly. Nu
ndicat	ig fror oective
L^{-1} i CV .	esultir er, resp
nmol esicle	alues 1 1sporte
p. 55 1 olith v	, the v H ⁺ trar
e cocc	s table CO ³ /I
nol · L in th	in this a ²⁺ /H0
, 2 mr iydrase	listed the C
rsion I uc anh	ersions H ⁺ or s.
del ve carbon	odel ve Ca ²⁺ /2 1 value:
of mc ity of	two m f the (in situ
n case s activ	ie last tivity o match
implie	For th the act ow to
el versi le CA	ation. from 1 s too 1
l mode d, whi	evalu ulting ate wa
n of all cytosc	egative tes res ation r
luatior de the	or a n ne valu recipit
nd eva	ie, – f d by tl oulk pi
sults a 1 (DIC	positiv ollowe deled ł
4. Re carboi	s for a first, f ie moe
T _{ABLE} ganic	stands listed that th

(a) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+} (DICcs 2 mmol \cdot L^{-1})$ 11.3 1.5 4.3 1.7 5.0 (b) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+} (DICcs 55 mmol \cdot L^{-1})$ 10.7 6.9 4.7 1.9 8.8 (c) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+} (DICcs 25 mmol \cdot L^{-1})$ 7.9 11.8 12.4 5.8 8.8 (l) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+} and CO_{3}^{2}$ (l) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+} and CO_{3}^{2}$ (l) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+} and CO_{3}^{2}$ (l) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+} and CO_{3}^{2}$ (l) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{-} and HCO_{3}^{-}/CI^{-}$ $6.1 390.3 390.5 10.0 8.8$ (l) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{-} and HCO_{3}^{-}/CI^{-}$ $6.1 390.3 390.5 10.0 2.2$ (l) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+} and HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ $7.8 13.0 13.5 6.3 8.8$ (v) $Ca^{2+}/2 CM^{+}$ $Ca^{2+}/CO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ $7.8 13.0 13.5 6.3 8.8$ (vi) $Ca^{2+}/H^{+} after H^{+} import$ $7.8 13.0 13.5 6.3 8.8$ (vi) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}/H^{+}$ $7.8 13.0 13.5 6.3 8.8$ (vi) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import $2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0$ (vi) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import $2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0$ (vii) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import $2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0$	Model version	Transported substrates) Hq	$\begin{array}{c} \text{DIC} \\ \text{mmol} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} TA \\ (mmol \cdot L^{-1}) \end{array}$	$[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}] \\ (\mathrm{mmol} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1})$	U	Evaluation	Reason
(ib) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+}$ (bICcs 55 mmol $\cdot L^{-1}$) 10.7 6.9 4.7 1.9 8.8 (ic) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+}$ (CA in CV, DICcs 2mmol $\cdot L^{-1}$) 7.9 11.8 12.4 5.8 8.8 (II) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+}$ and CO_{3}^{-} (III) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+}$ and CO_{3}^{-} (III) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{-}$ and HCO_{3}^{-}/CI^{-} 6.1 390.3 390.5 110.0 8.8 (IIIb) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{-}$ and HCO_{3}^{-}/CI^{-} 6.1 390.3 390.5 10.0 8.8 (IV) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+}$ and HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+} (IV) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+}$ and HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+} (IV) Ca^{2+}/H^{+} after H^{+} import 7.7 15.4 15.8 7.5 8.8 (Vb) Ca^{2+}/H^{+} after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.9 0.0 (VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{+} after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{+} after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{-} after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (VI) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{-} after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0 (VI) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0 (VI) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0 (VI) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0 (VI) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0 (VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{-} after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0 (VI) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0 (VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{-} after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0 (VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{-} after H^{+} import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	(Ia)	$Ca^{2+}/2 H^+ (DICcs \ 2 mmol \cdot L^{-1})$	11.3	1.5	4.3	1.7	5.0	I	Coccolith cannot be built within 1 h
(Ic) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+} (CA \text{ in } CV, \text{ DICcs } 2\text{mmol} . L^{-1})$ 7.9 11.8 12.4 5.8 8.8 (II) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+} \text{ and } CO_{2}$ (III) $Ca^{2+}/2 \text{ Ha} \text{ and } CO_{3}$ (III) $Ca^{2+}/2 \text{ Ha} \text{ and } HCO_{3}^{-}/CI^{-}$ 6.1 390.3 390.5 10.0 8.8 (III) $Ca^{2+}/2 \text{ HoO}_{3}^{-}$ 8.8 13.0 13.5 6.3 8.8 8 (IV) $Ca^{2+}/2 \text{ H} \text{ and } HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ 7.7 15.4 15.8 7.5 8.8 8 (Va) $Ca^{2+}/2 \text{ H} \text{ and } HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ 7.7 15.4 15.8 7.5 8.8 8 (Vb) $Ca^{2+}/2 \text{ H} \text{ and } HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ 7.7 15.4 15.8 7.5 8.8 8 (Vb) $Ca^{2+}/H^{+} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 7.8 13.0 13.5 6.3 8.8 8 (Vl) $Ca^{2+}/H^{+} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (0.10) (0.10) $Ca^{2+}/H^{+} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 8.8 (0.10) $Ca^{2+}/H^{+} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 11.7 1.5 Ha^{-}_{3} 1.6 0.2 0.5 0.0 (0.10) (0.10) $Ca^{2+}/H^{-} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (0.10) (0.10) $Ca^{2+}/H^{-} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0 (0.10) (0.10) $Ca^{2+}/H^{-} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0 (0.10) (0.1	(Ib)	$Ca^{2+}/2 H^+$ (DICcs 55 mmol $\cdot L^{-1}$)	10.7	6.9	4.7	1.9	8.8	+1	Coccolith can be built within 1 h, high DIC
(II) $C_{a^{2+}/2}^{a^{2+}/2} H^{+} and CO_{2}^{a}$ (IIIa) $C_{a^{2+}/2}^{a^{2+}/2} Na and HCO_{3}^{a}/CI^{-}$ (IIIb) $C_{a^{2+}/2}^{a^{2+}/2} Na and HCO_{3}^{a}/CI^{-}$ (IIIb) $C_{a^{2+}/2}^{a^{2+}/2} HcO_{3}^{a}$ (IV) $C_{a^{2+}/2}^{a^{2+}/2} H^{+} and HCO_{3}^{a}/H^{+}$ (Va) $C_{a^{2+}/2}^{a^{2+}/2} H^{+} and HCO_{3}^{a}/H^{+}$ (Vb) $C_{a^{2+}/2}^{a^{2+}/2} H^{+} and HCO_{3}^{a}/H^{+}$ (Vb) $C_{a^{2+}/2}^{a^{2+}/2} H^{+} and HCO_{3}^{a}/H^{+}$ (Vb) $C_{a^{2+}/2}^{a^{2+}/2} H^{+} and HCO_{3}^{a}/H^{+}$ (Vi) $C_{a^{2+}/2}^{a^{2+}/2} H^{+} after H^{+} import$ (VI) $C_{a^{2+}/2}^{a^{2+}/2} H^{+} after H^$	(Ic)	$Ca^{2+}/2 H^+$ (CA in CV, DICcs 2mmol . L ⁻¹)	7.9	11.8	12.4	5.8	8.8	+	required Additional CA inside CV leads to reasonable
(iIIa) $Ca^{2+}/2 Ra \text{ and } HCO_3^{-}/Cl^{-}$ 6.1 390.3 390.5 10.0 8.8 (IIIb) $Ca^{2+}/2 HCO_3^{-}$ (5.8 21.0 20.9 10.0 2.2 (IV) $Ca^{2+}/2 HCO_3^{-}$ 7.8 13.0 13.5 6.3 8.8 8 (Va) $Ca^{2+}/2 H^{+} \text{ and } HCO_3^{-}/H^{+}$ 7.7 15.4 15.8 7.5 8.8 8 (Vb) $Ca^{2+}/H^{+} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 7.8 13.0 13.5 6.3 8.8 8 (Vb) $Ca^{2+}/H^{+} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (0.1) (VI) $Ca^{2+}/H^{-} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (0.1) (VI) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^{-}/H^{+} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (0.1) (VI) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^{-}/H^{+} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (0.1) (VI) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^{-}/H^{+} \text{ after } H^{+} \text{ import}$ 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (0.1)	(11)	$ m Ca^{2+}/2~H^{+}$ and $ m CO_{2}$	10.6	7.0	4.7	1.9	8.8	+1	chemical conditions Either high pH or high diffusive loss of CO ₃
(iIIb) $Ca^{2+}/2 HCO_3^-$ (iIb) $Ca^{2+}/2 HCO_3^-$ (iV) Ca^{2+}/CO_3^{2-} (iV) Ca^{2+}/CO_3^{2-} (iV) Ca^{2+}/CO_3^- (iV) Ca^{2+}/H^+ and HCO_3^-/H^+ (i) (i) Ca^{2+}/H^- (i) (i) Ca^{2+}/H^+ after H^+ import (i) Ca^{2+}/H^- (i) Ca^{2+}/H	(IIIa)	$Ca^{2+}/2$ Na and HCO_{3-}/Cl^{-}	6.1	390.3	390.5	10.0	8.8	ı	Required [HCO ₃] and hence [Cl] too high
(IV) $Ca^{2+}/CO_3^{}$ 7.8 13.0 13.5 6.3 8.8	(qIII)	$Ca^{2+}/2 HCO_3^-$	6.8	21.0	20.9	10.0	2.2	ı	Precipitation rate of 22 fmol \cdot h^{-1} not reached
(Va) $Ca^{2+}/2$ H ⁺ and HCO $_3^-/H^+$ 7.715.415.87.58.8(Vb) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ 7.8 13.013.56.38.88.8(Vl) Ca^{2+}/H^+ after H ⁺ import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (VI) Ca^{2+}/H^+ after H ⁺ import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (VII) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ after H ⁺ import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (VII) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ after H ⁺ import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0	(VI)	Ca^{2+}/CO_{3}^{2-}	7.8	13.0	13.5	6.3	8.8	+1	Reasonable chemical conditions in CV, but
(Va) $Ca^{2^2/}/2 H^+$ and HCO_3^-/H^+ 7.7 15.4 15.8 7.5 8.8 (Vb) $Ca^{2^2/}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ 3.7 15.4 15.8 7.5 8.8 (Vl) $Ca^{2^2/}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ after H^+ import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (VI) $Ca^{2^2/}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ after H^+ import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (VII) $Ca^{2^2/}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ after H^+ import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (VII) $Ca^{2^2/}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ after H^+ import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (VII) $Ca^{2^2/}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ after H^+ import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.5 0.0		(Ca^{2+}/CO_3^{2-} cotransporter not known
(Vb) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import (VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{+} after H^{+} import (VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{+} after H^{+} import (VI) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import (VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{+} after H^{+} import (VI) Ca^{2	(Va)	$Ca^{2+}/2$ H ⁺ and HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}	7.7	15.4	15.8	7.5	8.8	+1	Reasonable chemical conditions in CV, but
(Vb) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H ⁺ import 7.8 13.0 13.5 6.3 8.8 (VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{+} after H ⁺ import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (0.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 (0.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 (VII) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H ⁺ import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0									sensitive system
(VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{+} after H^{+} import $2.0 \ 0.2 \ -10.2 \ 0.5 \ 0.0$ (VI) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3-}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import $2.0 \ 0.2 \ -10.2 \ 0.5 \ 0.5 \ 0.0$ (VII) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3-}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import $2.0 \ 0.2 \ -10.2 \ 0.5 \ 0.5 \ 0.0$	(Vb)	$Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3}^{-}/H^{+}$	7.8	13.0	13.5	6.3	8.8	++	Reasonable chemical conditions in CV and a
(VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{+} after H^{+} import $2.0 \ 0.2 \ -10.2 \ 0.5 \ 0.0$ (VI) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3-}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import $2.0 \ 0.2 \ -10.2 \ 0.5 \ 0.0$ (VII) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_{3-}^{-}/H^{+}$ after H^{+} import $2.0 \ 0.2 \ -10.2 \ 0.5 \ 0.0$									stable system are calculated, but unknown
(VI) Ca^{2+}/H^{+} after H ⁺ import $2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0$ $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow $									transporter
(VII) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ after H^+ import $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(IVI)	Ca ²⁺ /H ⁺ after H ⁺ import	2.0	0.2	-10.2	0.5	0.0	Ι	No advantage of preceded ATPase, ΔH^+ cannot be
(VII) $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ after H^+ import $2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 72 123 123 6.5 0.0 \\ \uparrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 73 133 6.5 0.5 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 \\ 0.0 0$		·	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow		used efficiently, and coccolith formation time
(VII) $Ca^{24}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ after H^+ import 2.0 0.2 -10.2 0.5 0.0 $\downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad$			11.7	1.5	4.6	1.9	ы С		>1 h
$\rightarrow \overset{\text{rot}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}{\overset{\text{rot}}{\overset{\text{rot}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}{\overset{\text{rot}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$	(III)	Ca ²⁺ /HCO ₃ /H ⁺ after H ⁺ import	2.0	0.2	-10.2	0.5	0.0	Ι	No advantage of preceded ATPase, and ΔH^+
			→ ⁷	\downarrow^{\downarrow}	\downarrow 13.8	$\overset{\leftarrow}{0.5}$	$\rightarrow^{0.5}_{5.5}$		cannot be used efficiently

state values as well as an evaluation of all model versions are given in Table 4. We further show the time-dependent development of DIC, TA, pH, the individual C species, Ω , and $[Ca^{2+}]$ for model version Ic (Fig. 4) as representative for what the kinetic model provides. For all other model versions, the



FIG. 4. The time-dependent evolution of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), total alkalinity (TA), pH, $[CO_2]$, $[HCO_5]$, $[CO_3^{2-}]$, Ω , and $[Ca^{2+}]$ inside the CV are presented for model version Ic, where a carbonic anhydrase (CA) is inside the CV. At Time = 0 s, the preset initial conditions are active given. During the presented time regime, the modeled state variables approach the steady state values listed in Table 4.

corresponding figures are given in Appendix S1 (Fig. S1–S5).

In the following, we will discuss the question whether specific transport scenarios (model versions I to VII; Fig. 3) are feasible in terms of carbonate chemistry inside the CV. The different model versions are characterized by the substrates that are transported across the CV membrane. The only feature all versions have in common is the transport of Ca^{2+} into the CV and a diffusion of CO_2 across the CV membrane.

Model version I is based on a $Ca^{2+}/2 H^+$ exchanger. In version Ia, the proton concentration inside the CV was too low to support the calcium flux that is essential to match the observed precipitation rate of calcite.

In version Ib, where a cytosolic DIC concentration of 55 mmol \cdot L⁻¹ is assumed, the in situ calcite precipitation rate is reached. The presumed high cytosolic DIC concentration may be accomplished by a Cl^{-}/HCO_{3}^{-} exchanger located in the plasma membrane. Interestingly, such a transporter (of SLC4 family) was shown to be specific for diploid, hence calcifying cells of E. huxleyi (von Dassow et al. 2009). Its up-regulation correlates with calcification (Mackinder et al. 2011). The cytosolic DIC has, however, not yet been measured. When roughly estimating the cytosolic volume of E. huxleyi to constitute 1/10 of the whole-cell volume, 55 mmol \cdot L⁻¹ DIC could be easily accumulated in the cytosol when presuming the cellular bulk DIC of 13 mmol \cdot L⁻¹ measured by Sekino and Shiraiwa (1994). Furthermore, version Ib features a very high ΔpH of almost four across the CV membrane, where the steepest pH gradient known to us is ~3-3.5 (Sitte et al. 2002) across the thylakoid membrane. However, the existence of a pH gradient steeper than that cannot be ruled out.

The energy-dependent accumulation of DIC inside the cytosol needed in version Ib can be overcome when assuming CA activity inside the CV (version Ic). This option was proposed by Soto et al. (2006) and a contribution of CA to calcification was suggested on the basis of genetic data (Soto et al. 2006, Richier et al. 2009).

To sum up, model version I provides realistic scenarios and ATP-driven Ca^{2+}/H^+ exchangers as well as the enzyme carbonic anhydrase have already been discovered in calcifying *E. huxleyi*.

Model version II features a 1 Ca²⁺/2 H⁺ exchange and an active CO₂ import into the CV. The resulting pH of 10.6 (Table 4) is high, but can be lowered when further increasing the import rate of CO₂: An elevation of V_{max(CO2)} from 1.4 fmol \cdot s⁻¹ to 16 fmol \cdot s⁻¹ leads to a pH of about 9 and a [CO₂] of 52 mmol \cdot L⁻¹. The V_{max(CO2)} listed in Table 4 (gross value) is actually already 350-fold higher than the net V_{max(CO2)}, however, has not been determined. Concerning the high [CO₂], maximum rates for the diffusive CO₂ efflux from the CV will certainly be induced. A considerable CO₂ leakage, however, is a well-known phenomenon in algae (Kranz et al. 2009).

To conclude, the in situ precipitation rate can be matched. However, model version II is probably less energy efficient than model version Ic.

Model version IIIa features $Ca^{2+}/2$ Na⁺ and HCO_3^-/Cl^- transporters, whereas version IIIb is characterized by a $Ca^{2+}/2$ HCO_3^- transporter. The $[HCO_3^-]$ and $[Cl^-]$ that are required inside the CV in model version IIIa are unrealistically high. In version IIIb, in contrast, bulk precipitation rate was too low to match the in situ value because the imported HCO_3^- (two per Ca^{2+}) shift the carbonate system toward HCO_3^- and CO_2 . Hence, the $[CO_3^{2-}]$ and Ω are too low to support the required calcite precipitation rate. In summary, both subversions were shown to be unrealistic.

Version IV features a Ca^{2+}/CO_3^{2-} symporter. The only shortcoming of this version is that such a transporter has so far not been found.

In version Va, the import of Ca^{2+} and HCO_3^- is accomplished by two transporters namely a $Ca^{2+}/2$ H^+ antiporter and a HCO_3^-/H^+ symporter. The shortcoming of this version is the required fine tuning of the rates of these two transporters: The pH value inside the CV drops when the $Ca^{2+}/2$ H^+ antiport decreases slightly and the HCO_3^-/H^+ symport increases slightly, respectively. In both cases, the precipitation rate is lowered. This problem can be over come when assuming one $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ cotransporter (version Vb). The latter is hypothetical, but so is the HCO_3^-/H^+ symporter of version Va. The outputs of both model versions (Va and Vb) are very similar to the outputs of version IV due to the fast conversion between HCO_3^- and CO_3^{2-} .

Versions VI and VII are based on a temporally organized ion transport similar to the import mechanism proposed by Mackinder et al. (2010). First, a H⁺ gradient across the CV membrane is established, which is subsequently used to drive the import of Ca²⁺. We distinguished a version featuring a Ca^{2+}/H^+ exchanger (version VI), and one featuring a $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^{-}/H^+$ cotransporter (version VII). Briefly, neither version is realistic because the time period in which the H⁺ gradient is used is too short (~1 s). Nevertheless, Mackinder et al. (2011) found genes of a Ca^{2+}/H^+ exchanger belonging to the CAX family and a vacuolar H⁺-ATPase to be up-regulated in calcifying E. huxleyi cells. An alternative to use these two transporters was a continuous import of Ca²⁺ by means of a localized proton gradient across the CV membrane, where the protons would have to be shielded from the growing coccolith. Unfortunately, this hypothesis can currently not be assessed.

CONCLUSION

We used model approaches to assess different possibilities of Ca^{2+} import into the CV. It was

shown that continuous Ca^{2+} import via membrane transporters during coccolith formation is the most likely scenario. Alternative scenarios such as vesicle-based Ca^{2+} transport or the formation of a Ca^{2+} pool were dismissed.

The impact of different transporter stoichiometries to import Ca^{2+} and Ci into the CV was studied by means of a kinetic model. As for an overall assessment of the different model versions, the one based on a CV-internal CA, a Ca^{2+}/H^+ exchanger, and a supply of Ci exclusively via CO_2 diffusion (Ic) can be regarded as the most promising one because the required CA as well as Ca^{2+}/H^+ exchangers have already been discovered in *E. huxleyi*.

Alternative versions based on a Ca^{2+}/CO_3^{2-} symport (IV) and a $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^{-}/H^+$ antiport (V) are also feasible in terms of our model, but the respective transporters have not been discovered yet.

We thank Judith Hauck, Dörte Burhop, and especially Sebastian Rokitta for many helpful comments on the manuscript. Furthermore, we want to thank four anonymous reviewers who helped to improve the manuscript. This work was supported by the European Commission through grant 211384 (EU FP7 "EPOCA"). Financial support for BIOACID was provided by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, FKZ 03F0608). The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement 265103 (Project MedSeA). This work was funded in part by The European Research Council (ERC grant 2010-NEWLOG ADG-267931 HE).

REFERENCES

- Bartolommei, G., Tadini-Buoninsegni, F., Hua, S., Moncelli, M. R., Inesi, G. & Guidelli, R. 2006. Clotrimazole inhibits the Ca²⁺-ATPase (SERCA) by interfering with Ca²⁺ binding and favoring the E2 conformation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281:9547–51.
- Baumann, K. -H., Böckel, B. & Frenz, M. 2004. Contribution of coccolithophorids to the carbonate budget of South Atlantic surface sediments. *In* Thierstein Hans, R. & Young Jeremy, R. [Eds.] *Coccolithophores From Molecular Processes to Global Impact.* Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 367–402.
- Beaufort, L., Probert, I., de Garidel-Thoron, T., Bendif, E. M., Ruiz-Pino, D., Metzl, N., Goyet, C. et al. 2011. Sensitivity of coccolithophores to carbonate chemistry and ocean acidification. *Nature* 476:80–3.
- Bennett, A. B. & Spanswick, R. M. 1984. H⁺-ATPase activity from storage tissue of *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiol*. 74:545–8.
- Berry, L., Taylor, A. R., Lucken, U., Ryan, K. P. & Brownlee, C. 2002. Calcification and inorganic carbon acquisition in coccolithophores. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 29:289–99.
- Brownlee, C., Davies, M., Nimer, N., Dong, L. F. & Merrett, M. J. 1995. Calcification, photosynthesis and intracellular regulation in *Emiliania huxleyi*. Bulletin de l'Institut océanographique 14:19–35.
- Brownlee, C. & Taylor, A. 2004. Calcification in coccolithophores: a cellular perspective. *In* Thierstein Hans, R. & Young Jeremy, R. [Eds.] *Coccolithophores - From Molecular Processes to Global Impact.* Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 31–49.
- Corstjens, P. L. A. M., Araki, Y. & Gonzalez, E. L. 2001. A coccolithophorid calcifying vesicle with a vacuolar-type ATPase proton pump: cloning and immunolocalization of the V subunit c. J. Phycol. 37:71–8.
- Corstjens, P. L. A. M., Van Der Kooij, A., Linschooten, C., Brouwers, G. J., Westbroek, P. & Jong, E. W. 1998. GPA, a calcium-

binding protein in the coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi* (Prymnesiophyceae). J. Phycol. 34:622–30.

- von Dassow, P., Ogata, H., Probert, I., Wincker, P., Da Silva, C., Audic, S., Claverie, J.-M. & de Vargas, C. 2009. Transcriptome analysis of functional differentiation between haploid and diploid cells of *Emiliania huxleyi*, a globally significant photosynthetic calcifying cell. *Genome Biol.* 10: R114.1–114.33.
- Dulhunty, A. F., Junankar, P. R. & Stanhope, C. 1993. Immunogold labeling of calcium ATPase in sarcoplasmic reticulum of skeletal muscle: use of 1-nm, 5-nm, and 10-nm gold. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 41:1459–66.
- Erez, J. 2003. The source of ions for biomineralization in foraminifera and their implications for paleoceanographic proxies. Dove, P., De Yoreo, J. J. & Weiner, S. [Eds.] *Biomineralization*, vol. 54. Mineralogical society of America/Geochemical society, Washington, pp. 115–49.
- Espie, G. S., Miller, A. G. & Canvin, D. T. 1989. Selective and reversible inhibition of active CO₂ transport by hydrogen sulfide in a cyanobacterium. *Plant Physiol.* 91:387–94.
- Fichtinger-Schepman, A. M. J., Kamerling, J. P., Versluis, C. & Vliegenthart, J. F. G. 1981. Structural studies of the methylated, acidic polysaccharide associated with coccoliths of *Emiliania huxleyi* (Lohmann) Kamptner. *Carbohyd. Res.* 93:105–23.
- Girijashanker, K., He, L., Soleimani, M., Reed, J. M., Li, H., Liu, Z., Wang, B., Dalton, T. P. & Nebert, D. W. 2008. Slc39a14 gene encodes ZIP14, a metal/bicarbonate symporter: similarities to the ZIP8 transporter. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 73:1413–23.
- Gussone, N., Langer, G., Thoms, S., Nehrke, G., Eisenhauer, A., Riebesell, U. & Wefer, G. 2006. Cellular calcium pathways and isotope fractionation in *Emiliania huxleyi*. *Geology* 34:625– 28.
- Henriksen, K., Stipp, S. L. S., Young, J. R. & Marsh, M. E. 2004. Biological control on calcite crystallization: AFM investigation of coccolith polysaccharide function. Am. Mineral. 89:1586–96.
- Hoppe, C. J. M., Langer, G. & Rost, B. 2011. *Emiliania huxleyi* shows identical responses to elevated pCO₂ in TA and DIC manipulations. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 406:54–62.
- de Jong, E. W., Bosch, L. & Westbroek, P. 1976. Isolation and characterization of a Ca²⁺-binding polysaccharide associated with coccoliths of *Emiliania huxleyi* (Lohmann) Kamptner. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 70:611–21.
- Klaveness, D. 1972. Coccolithus huxleyi (Lohmann) Kamptner. 1. Morphological investigations on the vegetative cell and the process of coccolith formation. Protistologica 8:335–46.
- Klaveness, D. 1976. *Emiliania huxleyi* (Lohmann) Hay and Mohler. III. mineral deposition and the origin of the matrix during coccolith formation. *Protistologica* 12:217–24.
- Koropatkin, N. M., Koppenaal, D. W., Pakrasi, H. B. & Smith, T. J. 2007. The structure of a cyanobacterial bicarbonate transport protein. *CmpA. J. Biol. Chem.* 282:2606–14.
- Kranz, S. A., Sültemeyer, D., Richter, K. U. & Rost, B. 2009. Carbon acquisition by *Trichodesmium*: the effect of pCO_2 and diurnal changes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 54:548–59.
- Langer, G., Gussone, N., Nehrke, G., Riebesell, U., Eisenhauer, A., Kuhnert, H., Rost, B., Trimborn, S. & Thoms, S. 2006. Coccolith strontium to calcium ratios in *Emiliania huxleyi*: the dependence on seawater strontium and calcium concentrations. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 51:310–20.
- Lohbeck, K. T., Riebesell, U. & Reusch, T. B. H. 2012. Adaptive evolution of a key phytoplankton species to ocean acidification. *Nature Geosci.* doi:10.1038/ngeo1441.
- Mackinder, L., Wheeler, G., Schroeder, D., Riebesell, U. & Brownlee, C. 2010. Molecular mechanisms underlying calcification in coccolithophores. *Geomicrobiol J.* 27:585–95.
- Mackinder, L., Wheeler, G., Schroeder, D., von Dassow, P., Riebesell, U. & Brownlee, C. 2011. Expression of biomineralization-related ion transport genes in *Emiliania huxleyi. Environ. Microbiol.*. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02561.x.
- Marsh, M. E. 1994. Polyanion-mediated mineralization assembly and reorganization of acidic polysaccharides in the golgi system of a coccolithophorid alga during mineral deposition. *Protoplasma* 177:108–22.

- Marsh, M. E. & Dickinson, D. P. 1997. Polyanion-mediated mineralization-mineralization in coccolithophore (*Pleurochrysis carterae*) variants which do not express PS2, the most abundant and acidic mineral-associated polyanion in wild-type cells. *Protoplasma* 199:9–17.
- Møller, J. V., Olesen, C., Winther, A.-M. L. & Nissen, P. 2010. The sarcoplasmic Ca²⁺-ATPase: design of a perfect chemi-osmotic pump. Q. Rev. Biophys. 43:501–66.
- Mortimer, C. 2003. Das Basiswissen der Chemie, 8th edn. Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart, Stuttgart.
- Outka, D. E. & Williams, D. C. 1971. Sequential coccolith morphogenesis in *Hymenomonas carterae*. J. Protozool. 18:285–97.
- Paasche, E. 1962. Coccolith formation. Nature 193:1094-5.
- Probert, I., Fresnel, J., Billard, C., Geisen, M. & Young, J. R. 2007. Light and electron microscope observations of *Algirosphaera robusta* (Prymnesiophyceae). *J. Phycol.* 43:319–32.
- Richier, S., Kerros, M. E., De Vargas, C., Haramaty, L., Falkowski, P. G. & Gattuso, J. P. 2009. Light-dependent transcriptional regulation of genes of biogeochemical interest in the diploid and haploid life cycle stages of *Emiliania huxleyi*. Appl. Environ. Microb. 75:3366–9.
- Schulz, K. G., Rost, B., Burkhardt, S., Riebesell, U., Thoms, S. & Wolf-Gladrow, D. A. 2007. The effect of iron availability on the regulation of inorganic carbon acquisition in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* and the significance of cellular compartmentation for stable carbon isotope fractionation. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.* 71:5301–12.
- Sekino, K. & Shiraiwa, Y. 1994. Accumulation and utilization of dissolved inorganic carbon by a marine unicellular coccolithophorid, *Emiliania huxleyi*. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 35:353–61.
- Sitte, P., Weiler, E. W., Kadereit, J. W., Bresinsky, A. & Körner, C. 2002. Strasburger, Lehrbuch der Botanik, 35th edn. Spektrum Akademischer Verlag, Heidelberg, Berlin.
- Soto, A. R., Zheng, H., Shoemaker, D., Rodriguez, J., Read, B. A. & Wahlund, T. M. 2006. Identification and preliminary characterization of two cDNAs encoding unique carbonic anhydrases from the marine alga *Emiliania huxleyi. Appl. Environ. Microb.* 72:5500–11.
- Staal, M., Elzenga, J. T. M. & Prins, H. 1989. ¹⁴C fixation by leaves and leaf cell protoplasts of the submerged aquatic angiosperm *Potamogeton lucens*: carbon dioxide or bicarbonate? *Plant Physiol.* 90:1035.
- Sültemeyer, D. F., Miller, A. G., Espie, G. S., Fock, H. P. & Canvin, D. T. 1989. Active CO₂ transport by the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Plant Physiol.* 89:1213–9.
- Sze, H., Liang, F., Hwang, I., Curran, A. C. & Harper, J. F. 2000. Diversity and regulation of plant Ca²⁺ pumps: insights from expression in yeast. Ann. Rev. Plant Biol. 51:433–62.
- Taylor, A. R., Chrachri, A., Wheeler, G., Goddard, H. & Brownlee, C. 2011. A voltage-gated H⁺ channel underlying pH homeostasis in calcifying coccolithophores. *PLoS Biol.* 9:1–13.
- Volk, T. & Hoffert, M. I. 1985. Ocean carbon pumps: analysis of relative strengths and efficiencies in ocean-driven atmospheric CO₂ changes. In Sundquist, E. T. & Broecker, W. S. [Eds.] The Carbon Cycle and Atmospheric CO₂: Natural Variations Archean to Present, vol. 32. AGU, Washington, DC, pp. 99–110.
- van der Wal, L., de Bruijn, W. C. & Westbroek, P. 1985. Cytochemical and X-ray microanalysis studies of intracellular calcium pools in scale-bearing cells of the coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi. Protoplasma* 124:1–9.
- van der Wal, P., de Jong, L., Westbroek, P. & de Bruijn, W. C. 1983b. Calcification in the coccolithophorid alga *Hymenomon*as carterae. Ecol. Bull. 35:251–8.
- van der Wal, P., de Jong, E. W., Westbroek, P., de Bruijn, W. C. & Mulder-Stapel, A. A. 1983a. Ultrastructural polysaccharide localization in calcifying and naked cells of the coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi*. *Protoplasma* 118:157–68.
- Westbroek, P., de Jong, E. W., van der Wal, P., Borman, A. H., de Vrind, J. P. M., Kok, D., de Bruijn, W. C. & Parker, S. B. 1984. Mechanism of calcification in the marine alga *Emilia-nia huxleyi*. *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B.* 304:435–44.

- Wilbur, K. M. & Watabe, N. 1963. Experimental studies on calcification in molluscs and the alga *Coccolithus huxleyi. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 109:82–112.
- Young, J. R. & Westbroek, P. 1991. Genotypic variation in the coccolithophorid species *Emiliania huxleyi*. Mar. Micropaleontol. 18:5–23.
- Young, J. R. & Ziveri, P. 2000. Calculation of coccolith volume and its use in calibration of carbonate flux estimates. *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II* 47:1679–700.
- Zeebe, R. E. & Wolf-Gladrow, D. A. 2001. CO₂ in Seawater: Equilibrium, Kinetics, Isotopes. Elsevier Science Ltd., Amsterdam, pp. 1–346.
- Zuddas, P. & Mucci, A. 1994. Kinetics of calcite precipitation from seawater: I. A classical chemical kinetics description for strong electrolyte solutions. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.* 58: 4353–62.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web site:

Appendix S1. Appendix to kinetic model setup.

Figure S1. Model outputs to model version Ib and II. DIC in the cytosol was increased to 55 mmol \cdot L⁻¹ in Ib. Model version II is based on an active CO₂ import into the CV. Development of DIC, TA, Ω , Ca²⁺ and the individual DIC species' concentrations inside the CV during the first 0.8 s of the model run. **Figure S2**. Model outputs to model version IIIa. Ca^{2+} and HCO_3^- cross the CV membrane independently of each other, while no H⁺ are exported from the CV.

Figure S3. Model outputs to version IV, Va, and Vb. Ca^{2+} and CO_3^{2-} are actively imported in version IV. Version V assumes an import of Ca^{2+} , HCO_3^{-} , and an export of H⁺, once via two transporters (V a) and once by means of one complex transporter (V b).

Figure S4. Results to model version VI. Ca^{2+} and H⁺ cross the CV membrane actively, after a proton gradient across the CV membrane has been established by means of an ATPase. CO₂ diffuses across the membrane in both stages.

Figure S5. Results to model version VII. Ca^{2+} , HCO_3^- , and H^+ actively cross the CV membrane, after the establishment of a proton gradient across the CV membrane by means of an ATPase. CO_2 diffuses across the membrane in both stages. The plots on the left hand side present the whole model run, while on the right hand side, the time slot, during which the ATPase activity is stopped and the $Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^-/H^+$ exchanger starts its activity, is shown in more detail.